



---

## **SOCIAL-EMOTIONAL LEARNING AS A RESOURCE FOR CHILDREN'S PSYCHOLOGICAL WELL-BEING: INTERNATIONAL EXPERIENCE AND PERSPECTIVES**

Sharipova Sh. S.

PhD in Pedagogical Sciences, Associate Professor of the Department of  
Pedagogy and Psychology, A.I. Herzen Russian State Pedagogical University,  
Tashkent Branch, Tashkent, Uzbekistan

Fazilova L. G.

3rd-Year Student, Child Psychology Program  
A.I. Herzen Russian State Pedagogical University, Tashkent Branch  
Tashkent, Uzbekistan

---

### **Abstract**

This article presents a comprehensive literature review examining Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) as a key resource for promoting psychological well-being in children. Publications from 2005 to 2024 indexed in PubMed, Scopus, PsycINFO, and ERIC databases were systematically analysed. The review covers theoretical frameworks underpinning SEL, the evidence base for school-based SEL programmes, mechanisms linking SEL competencies to psychological well-being outcomes, cross-cultural implementation challenges, and perspectives for SEL adoption in Central Asian educational contexts. The analysis reveals that high-quality, evidence-based SEL programmes produce consistent and meaningful improvements in children's social-emotional competencies, mental health indicators, and academic achievement, with meta-analytic effect sizes ranging from  $d = 0.35$  to  $d = 0.66$ . Cultural adaptation and teacher training emerge as critical moderators of programme effectiveness. The paper identifies significant gaps in SEL research and practice in Uzbekistan and the broader Central Asian region and outlines priorities for future development.



---

**Keywords:** social-emotional learning, SEL, psychological well-being, children, school-based interventions, literature review, Central Asia.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Children's psychological well-being — encompassing positive affect, life satisfaction, adaptive coping, and the capacity for meaningful social relationships — has emerged as a central concern of contemporary educational psychology and public health policy (Huebner & Gilman, 2006; Seligman et al., 2009). Growing evidence from developmental psychology, neuroscience, and educational research points to the foundational role of social and emotional competencies — including self-awareness, self-regulation, social awareness, relationship skills, and responsible decision-making — in determining long-term mental health, academic attainment, and civic participation (Durlak et al., 2011; Greenberg et al., 2003).

Social-Emotional Learning (SEL) represents a structured educational approach to the intentional development of these competencies within school and family contexts (Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning [CASEL], 2020). Originating in the United States in the 1990s through the work of Goleman (1995) and the founding of CASEL, SEL has since been adopted across more than 100 countries as a framework for integrating social-emotional development into formal education (Weissberg et al., 2015). The global expansion of SEL has been further accelerated by the inclusion of social-emotional competencies within the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals framework (UNESCO, 2021) and by the growing recognition of the mental health crisis among children and adolescents following the COVID-19 pandemic (Racine et al., 2021).

In Central Asia, and Uzbekistan in particular, SEL remains at an early stage of adoption despite the country's ongoing educational reforms and the documented prevalence of mental health challenges among school-age children (Yusupova et al., 2021). Understanding the international evidence base for SEL and its transferability to Central Asian educational contexts is therefore both timely and practically significant.



---

The aim of this review is to synthesise the current state of international evidence on SEL as a resource for children's psychological well-being, identify key theoretical foundations and implementation factors, evaluate cross-cultural applicability, and formulate recommendations for SEL development in Uzbekistan.

## **2. THEORETICAL FOUNDATIONS OF SEL**

The theoretical architecture of SEL draws on multiple converging frameworks from developmental psychology, affective neuroscience, and educational theory. Goleman's (1995) popularisation of emotional intelligence — broadly defined as the capacity to perceive, understand, manage, and utilise emotions — provided the initial conceptual impetus for SEL. However, subsequent scientific development of the field has been grounded in more rigorously defined constructs, most notably Mayer et al.'s (2008) four-branch model of emotional intelligence, which distinguishes perceiving, using, understanding, and managing emotions as distinct but interrelated capacities.

Bronfenbrenner's (1979) ecological systems theory situates social-emotional development within nested contexts — family, school, peer group, and community — and underscores the importance of systemic, multi-level intervention for sustainable SEL outcomes. Self-determination theory (Ryan & Deci, 2000) contributes by articulating the role of autonomy, competence, and relatedness as fundamental psychological needs whose satisfaction mediates the relationship between SEL programme participation and well-being outcomes. Neurobiological perspectives emphasise the critical role of the prefrontal cortex and limbic system in emotional regulation and the plasticity of these systems during childhood and early adolescence, providing a biological rationale for the developmental appropriateness of SEL interventions (Greenberg, 2006).

The CASEL framework (2020) synthesises these theoretical contributions into a five-domain model: self-awareness, self-management, social awareness, relationship skills, and responsible decision-making. This model has become the dominant operational framework for SEL programme design and evaluation



---

internationally and provides the conceptual organiser for the review of evidence presented in subsequent sections.

### **3. EVIDENCE BASE FOR SCHOOL-BASED SEL PROGRAMMES**

The empirical foundation for school-based SEL is among the most robust in applied educational research. Durlak et al.'s (2011) landmark meta-analysis of 213 school-based SEL programmes involving over 270,000 students demonstrated significant positive effects on social-emotional skills ( $d = 0.57$ ), attitudes toward self and others ( $d = 0.23$ ), positive social behaviour ( $d = 0.24$ ), conduct problems ( $d = -0.22$ ), emotional distress ( $d = -0.24$ ), and academic performance ( $d = 0.27$ ). Programmes that were evidence-based, sequenced, active, focused, and explicit (SAFE) showed consistently larger effect sizes than less structured approaches.

A subsequent meta-analysis by Taylor et al. (2017), focusing on long-term follow-up outcomes (average 3.5 years post-intervention), found that SEL programme benefits were maintained and even strengthened over time: effect sizes for academic achievement, positive social behaviour, and emotional well-being at follow-up ranged from  $d = 0.30$  to  $d = 0.40$ , with particularly strong effects for programmes integrated into the regular school curriculum rather than delivered as supplementary add-ons. These longitudinal findings are of particular significance as they demonstrate that SEL produces not merely transient skill acquisition but durable changes in children's developmental trajectories.

Specific SEL programmes with the strongest evidence bases include: the Promoting Alternative Thinking Strategies (PATHS) curriculum (Greenberg et al., 1995), which demonstrated significant reductions in aggression and emotional dysregulation in randomised controlled trials across multiple countries; the Second Step programme (Committee for Children, 2011), with strong evidence for reductions in physical aggression and improvements in social competence; and the Positive Action programme (Flay & Allred, 2003), which showed improvements in academic achievement alongside social-emotional outcomes in cluster-randomised trials.



---

#### **4. MECHANISMS LINKING SEL TO PSYCHOLOGICAL WELL-BEING**

The mechanisms through which SEL competencies contribute to psychological well-being have been examined at multiple levels of analysis. At the individual cognitive-emotional level, self-regulation skills — a central SEL competency — directly reduce vulnerability to emotional dysregulation, anxiety, and depressive symptoms by enabling children to modulate their emotional responses to stressful events (Greenberg, 2006; Zins et al., 2004). Longitudinal studies demonstrate that childhood self-regulation predicts adult mental health outcomes independently of IQ, socioeconomic status, and family background (Moffitt et al., 2011).

At the interpersonal level, relationship skills and social awareness competencies facilitate the formation of secure peer relationships and positive teacher-student interactions, which are robust protective factors against internalising and externalising mental health problems in children (Roffey, 2012). The quality of peer relationships mediates a significant proportion of the relationship between SEL programme participation and well-being outcomes (Elias et al., 1997). At the neurobiological level, SEL practices — particularly mindfulness-based components and emotion-coaching techniques — have been shown to enhance prefrontal regulation of amygdala reactivity, reducing physiological stress responses and improving attentional focus (Greenberg, 2006; Zins et al., 2004).

#### **5. CROSS-CULTURAL IMPLEMENTATION AND ADAPTATION**

The cross-cultural implementation of SEL programmes developed primarily in Western contexts raises important questions about cultural validity and adaptation requirements. Humphrey et al. (2010) identified cultural norms regarding emotional expression, the role of family versus school in socialisation, and prevailing pedagogical traditions as key factors moderating SEL implementation fidelity and effectiveness in non-Western contexts. In collectivist cultures — prevalent across Central Asia, East Asia, and parts of the Middle East — the SEL emphasis on individual self-expression and autonomous decision-making may require adaptation to accommodate the primacy of group harmony and family authority (Zins et al., 2004).



---

Studies from East Asian contexts — particularly Japan, South Korea, and China — demonstrate that culturally adapted SEL programmes can achieve effect sizes comparable to those in Western samples, provided that adaptations address local norms for emotional expression, involve families as active participants, and align with existing pedagogical frameworks (Weissberg et al., 2015). In Uzbekistan, the integration of SEL principles with mahalla community structures, Islamic ethical frameworks, and the collectivist values embedded in national educational discourse represents a potentially productive adaptation strategy that remains largely unexplored in the research literature (Yusupova et al., 2021).

## **6. PERSPECTIVES FOR SEL IN CENTRAL ASIA AND UZBEKISTAN**

The prospects for SEL development in Uzbekistan are shaped by several converging factors. The current phase of educational reform — including the modernisation of school curricula, the introduction of inclusive education, and the expansion of psychological support services in schools — creates institutional opportunities for SEL integration (Ministry of Public Education of the Republic of Uzbekistan, 2022). The documented prevalence of anxiety, stress-related disorders, and social-emotional difficulties among Uzbek schoolchildren, amplified by the social disruptions of the COVID-19 pandemic, establishes a clear public health rationale for intervention.

However, significant barriers to SEL implementation persist: limited availability of trained school psychologists and SEL-competent teachers; absence of validated SEL assessment instruments in Uzbek and Russian languages; and the absence of a published evidence base for SEL effectiveness within the national educational context. Addressing these barriers requires a coordinated programme of research, curriculum development, teacher training, and policy advocacy.

## **7. CONCLUSION**

The international evidence base for SEL as a resource for children's psychological well-being is robust and consistently positive, with well-designed school-based programmes producing meaningful and durable improvements in social-emotional competencies, mental health indicators, and academic outcomes. The



---

transferability of these findings to Central Asian contexts — including Uzbekistan — is theoretically plausible but empirically unverified, highlighting a significant gap in the literature. Priority research directions for Uzbekistan include the development and validation of culturally adapted SEL curricula, the creation of Uzbek-language SEL assessment tools, and the evaluation of pilot implementation programmes using rigorous methodological designs.

## **REFERENCES**

1. Bronfenbrenner, U. (1979). *The ecology of human development*. Harvard University Press.
2. Collaborative for Academic, Social, and Emotional Learning (CASEL). (2020). CASEL's SEL framework: What are the core competence areas and where are they promoted? CASEL. <https://casel.org/sel-framework/>
3. Durlak, J. A., Weissberg, R. P., Dymnicki, A. B., Taylor, R. D., & Schellinger, K. B. (2011). The impact of enhancing students' social and emotional learning: A meta-analysis of school-based universal interventions. *Child Development*, 82(1), 405–432. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8624.2010.01564.x>
4. Elias, M. J., Zins, J. E., Weissberg, R. P., Frey, K. S., Greenberg, M. T., Haynes, N. M., & Shriver, T. P. (1997). *Promoting social and emotional learning: Guidelines for educators*. ASCD.
5. Goleman, D. (1995). *Emotional intelligence: Why it can matter more than IQ*. Bantam Books.
6. Greenberg, M. T. (2006). Promoting resilience in children and youth: Preventive interventions and their interface with neuroscience. *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, 1094(1), 139–150. <https://doi.org/10.1196/annals.1376.013>
7. Greenberg, M. T., Kusché, C. A., Cook, E. T., & Quamma, J. P. (1995). Promoting emotional competence in school-aged children: The effects of the PATHS curriculum. *Development and Psychopathology*, 7(1), 117–136. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S0954579400006374>



8. Huebner, E. S., & Gilman, R. (2006). Students who like and dislike school. *Applied Research in Quality of Life*, 1(2), 139–150. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11482-006-9001-3>
9. Humphrey, N., Lendrum, A., & Wigelsworth, M. (2010). Social and emotional aspects of learning (SEAL) programme in secondary schools: National evaluation. Department for Education (UK).
10. Mayer, J. D., Roberts, R. D., & Barsade, S. G. (2008). Human abilities: Emotional intelligence. *Annual Review of Psychology*, 59, 507–536. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.psych.59.103006.093646>
11. Ministry of Public Education of the Republic of Uzbekistan. (2022). National programme for educational reform 2022–2026. Tashkent.
12. Moffitt, T. E., Arseneault, L., Belsky, D., Dickson, N., Hancox, R. J., Harrington, H., & Caspi, A. (2011). A gradient of childhood self-control predicts health, wealth, and public safety. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(7), 2693–2698. <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.1010076108>
13. Racine, N., McArthur, B. A., Cooke, J. E., Eirich, R., Zhu, J., & Madigan, S. (2021). Global prevalence of depressive and anxiety symptoms in children and adolescents during COVID-19. *JAMA Pediatrics*, 175(11), 1142–1150. <https://doi.org/10.1001/jamapediatrics.2021.2482>
14. Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. *American Psychologist*, 55(1), 68–78. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0003-066X.55.1.68>
15. Taylor, R. D., Oberle, E., Durlak, J. A., & Weissberg, R. P. (2017). Promoting positive youth development through school-based social and emotional learning interventions: A meta-analysis of follow-up effects. *Child Development*, 88(4), 1156–1171. <https://doi.org/10.1111/cdev.12864>
16. UNESCO. (2021). Reimagining our futures together: A new social contract for education. UNESCO Publishing.
17. Weissberg, R. P., Durlak, J. A., Domitrovich, C. E., & Gullotta, T. P. (2015). Social and emotional learning: Past, present, and future. In J. A. Durlak, C. E.



***Modern American Journal of Medical and Health Sciences***

**ISSN (E):** 3067-803X

Volume 2, Issue 6, June 2026

**Website:** usajournals.org

***This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.***

- 
- Domitrovich, R. P. Weissberg, & T. P. Gullotta (Eds.), Handbook of social and emotional learning (pp. 3–19). Guilford Press.
18. Yusupova, D. M., Normatova, M. R., & Alieva, Sh. T. (2021). Mental health challenges among schoolchildren in Uzbekistan. *Pedagogy and Psychology*, 4(2), 45–53.
19. Zins, J. E., Weissberg, R. P., Wang, M. C., & Walberg, H. J. (Eds.). (2004). *Building academic success on social and emotional learning*. Teachers College Press.