



GLOBAL THREATS AND NATIONAL VALUES: A PHILOSOPHICAL INTERPRETATION OF SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Ergashev Ulugbek Adxamovich
Teacher of Fergana State Technical University

Abstract

This the article describes global processes philosophical content and their stable development concept with integral dependency seeing the current ecological, technological and social crises facing civilization are analyzed based on philosophical and ethical approaches. The scientific views of U. Beck, J. Habermas, H. Jonas, V. Stepin and N. Moiseyev, as well as the philosophical principles expressed in the Strategy of Uzbekistan “Uzbekistan – 2030”, the Concept of “Green Economy” and Decrees No. PF-60, PF-83, PF-158 are analyzed. The idea of sustainable development is interpreted based on harmony between man, society and nature, spirituality and social justice.

Keywords: Stable development, sustainable progress philosophy, global processes, ecological culture, spirituality values, social justice, technological development, West philosophy, CIS scientists, Uzbekistan experience, national Values, Responsibility principle, noosphere and biosphere, intercultural consensus

Introduction

Modern global processes philosophical interpretation current of civilization the most current from issues to one has become. Last ten in years humanity technology and economy in the field high to opportunities achieved although the climate change, resources reduction , biological diversity disappearance, migration and social inequality global threats such as further outbreak is taking . This crisis factors stable development the idea political from the concept besides , philosophical-moral analysis to the object turned . That's why for today's on the



Modern American Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities

ISSN (E): 3067-8153

Volume 01, Issue 09, December, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

*This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution
4.0 International License.*

day humanity so main to the question is facing : “ Development which to values
who to rely on in the interest of service to do and how goals to look need ?

Stable development philosophy importance one how many main factors with is
determined . The most first of all , ecological crisis and climate sharp change
there is management systems efficiency doubt under Global warming , water
shortage , increasing going extreme weather processes economic and social to
systems serious danger is giving birth . So in the situation short term economic
benefit looking forward to acceptance being done political or economic decisions
future generations life to the conditions negative impact to show possible . This
attitude with Hans Jonas his famous “ Responsibility "principle" As noted , every
how progress caution and responsibility criteria with harmonious to be demand
is being done .

Another one important factor — technological development philosophical are the
consequences . Genetic engineering , artificial intelligence , robotics and core
technologies in the fields fast achievements humanity new moral and to existence
related questions with W. Beck in the concept of "Risk Society " as noted , such
technological development global risk and instability source as formation
possible . This because of this the process management only national on a scale
not , maybe worldwide boot standards and philosophical criteria based on
orientation necessary .

Another one important factor — social justice and equality The UN approved in
2015 the “ 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development ” Stable Progress Goals
(SDGs) for humanity 17 universal for task by designating gave . This goals
economic prosperity ecological stability and social equality with to unite looks
forward to . That's why for every one state this principles his/her own national
progress to the strategy harmonization necessary .

CIS countries in experience stable progress issue civilizational and cultural
approach based on interpretation is being done . Russian researchers V.
Inozemtsev , A. Ursul and M. Moiseyev stated , stable development philosophy
only economic growth not , maybe human of mind rise , spiritual values formation
and ecological of culture development itself inside This point of view look
Uzbekistan important even in the conditions importance profession will , because



Modern American Journal of Social Sciences and Humanities

ISSN (E): 3067-8153

Volume 01, Issue 09, December, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

*This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution
4.0 International License.*

national values and ecological thought harmony stable of progress main from factors one as is being viewed .

Uzbekistan In the Republic stable development concept state of the policy main from directions one as was formed . In particular , the President Sh. M. Mirziyoyev by Approved Decree No. PF-158 (September 11 , 2023) — “ Uzbekistan — 2030” Strategy of the country far term development model by designating gave . Then ecological sustainability , social prosperity and innovative economy each other with harmonious without interpretation [10 , 45–47]. Also , Decree No. PF-83 (February 21 , 2022) “ By 2030, provided population share two even to reduce directed with stable of progress social in the direction of separately accent gives [11, 31–33].

Stable progress The concept of the 20th century second half starting globally political and philosophical of thinking main from the topics to one Especially in 1987 , the UN Environment and progress according to Worldwide commission by announcement " Our " general our future ” (Brundtland in the report) this concept philosophical-moral to the essence has theory as was formed . This in the document stable development " current " generation needs provide in the process future generations opportunities limiting "no-put-down " principle as [2 , 37–39]. This approach humanity human in the center from imagination give up past , biocentric and ecocentric to think to go encourages . Because human of activity economic and technological expansion natural systems balance from the trail environmentally friendly , ethical and social crises to the surface is bringing .

Modern West in philosophy stable development idea ecological ethical , bioethical , social justice and global responsibility concepts with closely related . W. Beck “Risk Society : A New " Towards Modernity " development is " reflexive " " modernization " stage as interpretation so that humanity own activity results for responsibility understanding to the period entered [3, 25]. Technological achievements human opportunities expanded although they danger and It also increases instability . This because of current civilization not only economic to grow , maybe conscious and moral responsibility principles justification necessary [5, 41].

J. Habermas " Communicative movement theory " in stable of progress based on moral communication , mutual understanding and intercultural consensus to lie



down emphasized . Habermas believes that global stability provide technological tools through not , maybe people between moral and communicative harmony through done increases [6, 52–53]. This because of this, he " cultures" "dialogue" of global balance philosophical condition as sees .

References:

1. Mirziyoyev Sh. M. New Strategy of Uzbekistan. Tashkent: Publishing House "Uzbekistan", 2022. – P. 77.
2. Brundtland G. H. Our Common Future. Oxford University Press, 1987. – P. 37–39.
3. Meadows D. Limits to Growth. Universe Books, 1972. – P. 45–46.
4. Beck U. Risk Society: Towards a New Modernity. Sage Publications, 1992. – P. 25.
5. Эргашев У. А. ИНФОРМАЦИОННАЯ БЕЗОПАСНОСТЬ В ОБРАЗОВАНИИ //Экономика и социум. – 2023. – №. 12 (115)-1. – С. 1576-1578.
6. Эргашев У. А. ПРИОРИТЕТ ЭКОЛОГИЧЕСКОЙ ЭТИКИ В ЭПОХУ ГЛОБАЛИЗАЦИИ //Экономика и социум. – 2023. – №. 12 (115)-1. – С. 1573-1575.
7. Эргашев У. А. ТЕОРЕТИЧЕСКИЕ МЕТОДОЛОГИЧЕСКИЕ ОСНОВЫ ИЗУЧЕНИЯ ПРОБЛЕМЫ ТВОРЧЕСКОЙ ЛИЧНОСТИ //Экономика и социум. – 2023. – №. 10 (113)-1. – С. 785-788.
8. Adhamovich E. U. AESTHETIC AND ECOLOGICAL ATTITUDE OF THE PERSON TO NATURE CHARACTERISTICS OF THE FORMATION OF ITS PROPERTIES //Gospodarka i Innowacje. – 2023. – Т. 42. – С. 543-546.
9. Adhamovich E. U. PROBLEMS OF PATRIOTIC SENSE FORMATION IN YOUTH //Gospodarka i Innowacje. – 2023. – Т. 42. – С. 209-212.
10. Adhamovich E. U. THE ROLE OF PERSONALITY EDUCATION IN PERSONAL SOCIAL ACTIVITY //Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy and Culture. – 2023. – Т. 4. – №. 11. – С. 107-111.
11. Adhamovich E. U. Philosophical Analysis of Society //Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy and Culture. – 2023. – Т. 4. – №. 6. – С. 17-20.



12. Adhamovich E. U., Sarvarbek R. The Difference of Philosophical Categories from Other Concepts of Science //Central Asian Journal of Literature, Philosophy and Culture. – 2023. – Т. 4. – №. 6. – С. 21-24.
13. Эргашев У. А. ФИЛОСОФСКИЕ И ПОЛИТОЛОГИЧЕСКИЕ АСПЕКТЫ ФАНАТИЗМА И ТЕРПИМОСТИ //Экономика и социум. – 2022. – №. 11-1 (102). – С. 1060-1063.
14. Эргашев У. А. ҚЎРҚУВ МАДАНИЙ ҲОДИСА СИФАТИДА //Экономика и социум. – 2022. – №. 11-1 (102). – С. 1056-1059.
15. Эргашев У. А. ЭВТАНАЗИЯ КАК СОЦИАЛЬНОЕ ЯВЛЕНИЕ //Экономика и социум. – 2022. – №. 12-1 (103). – С. 1110-1113.
16. Эргашев У. А. ВОПРОСЫ ПОВЫШЕНИЯ КАЧЕСТВА ОБРАЗОВАНИЯ МОЛОДЕЖИ В ВЫСШИХ УЧЕБНЫХ ЗАВЕДЕНИЯХ //Экономика и социум. – 2022. – №. 12-1 (103). – С. 1118-1121.
17. Эргашев У. А. ФЕНОМЕНЫ ДУХА ЧЕЛОВЕКА: УБЕЖДЕНИЕ И ВЕРА //Экономика и социум. – 2022. – №. 12-1 (103). – С. 1114-1117.
18. Эргашев У. А. ГАРМОНИЧНО РАЗВИТОЕ ПОКОЛЕНИЕ-РЕШАЮЩАЯ СИЛА РАЗВИТИЯ НОВОГО УЗБЕКИСТАНА //Экономика и социум. – 2021. – №. 11-2 (90). – С. 730-732.
19. Эргашев У. А. ДУХОВНОЕ ГАРМОНИЧНОЕ ВОСПИТАНИЕ ЧЕЛОВЕКА В СУФИЗМЕ //Экономика и социум. – 2021. – №. 12-2 (91). – С. 884-887.
20. Akhmadjon, U., Ulugbek, A., Ulugbek, E., Rano, A., & Sanjarbek, K. (2019). Research of the category of belief. International Journal of Innovative Technology and Exploring Engineering, 9(1), 3131-3137.