



COMPARATIVE STUDY OF RISK MANAGEMENT IN CONVENTIONAL AND ISLAMIC FINANCE SYSTEM

Diyorbek Baqoyev

Third Year Student of Management Development Institute of Singapore in
Tashkent. Finance, Investment and Risk Management, Group-330

Abstract

This article presents a comprehensive comparative analysis of risk management practices in conventional and Islamic finance systems. While both systems aim to mitigate financial risks to ensure stability and profitability, their approaches differ fundamentally due to the underlying principles governing Islamic finance, notably Shariah compliance. Conventional finance primarily focuses on interest-based risk mitigation techniques, whereas Islamic finance incorporates unique risk categories such as profit-and-loss sharing risks and prohibits interest (riba), excessive uncertainty (gharar), and gambling (maysir). This study synthesizes findings from empirical research and literature, highlighting the distinct risk types, management frameworks, and regulatory requirements in both systems. The article also includes comparative tables illustrating key risk categories, management tools, and governance structures, supported by real references in Harvard style.

Keywords: Risk Management, Islamic Finance, Conventional Finance, Shariah Compliance, Credit Risk, Market Risk, Liquidity Risk, Operational Risk, Profit-and-Loss Sharing.

Introduction

Risk management is a fundamental pillar for the sustainability and resilience of financial institutions worldwide. It encompasses the identification, assessment, monitoring, and mitigation of various risks that could potentially threaten a bank's financial health and operational stability. In conventional banking



systems, risk management primarily revolves around managing credit risk, market risk, liquidity risk, operational risk, legal risk, strategic risk, compliance risk, and reputational risk. These risks are typically managed through interest-based contracts, regulatory frameworks, and sophisticated financial instruments designed to minimize losses arising from defaults, market fluctuations, and operational failures.

In contrast, Islamic finance operates under a distinct paradigm governed by Shariah law, which prohibits interest (riba), excessive uncertainty (gharar), and gambling (maysir). This framework introduces unique risk categories such as return risk and equity investment risk, which stem from the profit-and-loss sharing nature of Islamic financial contracts like Mudarabah and Musharakah. Unlike conventional banks that primarily focus on interest-based lending and borrowing, Islamic banks engage in asset-backed financing and partnership-based contracts, which inherently distribute risk between the bank and its clients. This profit-and-loss sharing mechanism, while promoting ethical finance, also increases exposure to risks related to investment performance and operational compliance with Shariah principles.

Moreover, Islamic finance mandates the presence of Shariah supervisory boards to ensure all transactions comply with Islamic law, adding a layer of governance and operational risk unique to this system. The prohibition of interest and speculative activities compels Islamic banks to develop alternative risk mitigation strategies that align with ethical and religious guidelines, often resulting in more conservative credit practices but also challenges in liquidity management due to the limited availability of Shariah-compliant liquid assets. Empirical studies reveal that while both conventional and Islamic banks face similar categories of risks, the approach to risk management differs significantly due to their underlying principles and operational models. For instance, Islamic banks tend to have lower credit risk and market risk exposure but face greater challenges in liquidity risk management and operational risk related to Shariah compliance. Conventional banks, on the other hand, rely heavily on interest rate hedging and credit scoring models to manage risks but are more vulnerable to interest rate fluctuations and credit defaults.



This comparative study aims to explore these fundamental differences in risk management between conventional and Islamic finance systems, focusing on how each system identifies, assesses, and mitigates risks within their respective regulatory and ethical frameworks. By understanding these distinctions, financial institutions, regulators, and policymakers can better appreciate the strengths and limitations inherent in each system, ultimately contributing to more robust and resilient financial markets.

Risk Categories in Conventional and Islamic Finance.

Risk Type	Conventional Finance	Islamic Finance
Credit Risk	Risk of borrower default	Similar, but mitigated through asset-backed contracts
Market Risk	Exposure to market fluctuations	Includes market risk, but mitigated by profit-and-loss sharing
Liquidity Risk	Risk of insufficient funds to meet obligations	Similar, with additional focus on liquidity of Shariah-compliant assets
Operational Risk	Failures in internal processes	Similar, plus Shariah compliance risk
Legal Risk	Contract enforceability and regulatory compliance	Includes Shariah compliance risk
Strategic Risk	Business strategy failures	Similar
Compliance Risk	Adherence to laws and regulations	Adherence to Shariah and regulatory requirements
Reputation Risk	Public perception and trust	Similar
Return Risk	Not typically distinguished	Unique to Islamic finance due to profit-and-loss sharing
Investment Risk	Equity investment risks	Emphasized due to participatory nature of investments

Source: Adapted from Akbar (2020), Alrukhayyes et al. (2014), and COMCEC (2021).

Key Differences and Challenges in Risk Management between Conventional and Islamic Finance

Credit Risk

Islamic banks face unique challenges in credit risk assessment because traditional quantitative models widely used in conventional banking—such as credit scoring and statistical risk models—are not fully applicable due to the



nature of Islamic contracts and prohibitions on interest (riba). Instead, Islamic banks emphasize qualitative factors such as the borrower's business viability, financial needs, repayment intention, and overall creditworthiness assessed through detailed credit history and business proficiency evaluations. This approach is often supported by Shariah-based lending policies that require asset-backed financing and prohibit excessive uncertainty (gharar) or speculative practices (maysir).

Moreover, Islamic banks conduct continuous credit risk analysis throughout the life of the financing relationship, not just at the initial approval stage. This includes ongoing monitoring of the customer's financial condition and the adequacy of collateral or guarantees provided. Research on Pakistani Islamic banking institutions, for example, shows that proactive credit risk management, including evaluating debtor intentions and economic conditions, significantly reduces non-performing assets and loan losses (Farhan, 2020). However, macroeconomic factors like GDP growth and inflation also impact credit risk, requiring Islamic banks to carefully regulate financing expansion and quality to mitigate risk effectively (Sitasari, 2021).

Market Risk

Conventional banks benefit from a broad array of derivative instruments—such as interest rate swaps, futures, and options—that allow them to hedge against market fluctuations effectively. These tools enable precise management of interest rate risk, foreign exchange risk, and equity price risk.

Islamic finance, however, faces significant constraints in market risk management due to Shariah prohibitions on interest and many conventional derivatives. Islamic banks rely on limited Shariah-compliant hedging instruments such as Islamic forwards (wa'd), profit rate swaps, and salam contracts. These instruments are less developed and less liquid, limiting the ability of Islamic banks to hedge market risks effectively. As a result, Islamic banks often face higher exposure to market volatility, and alternative risk measurement models like Profit-at-Risk (PaR) have been proposed to better capture the unique risk-return profiles of Islamic financial products (UI Scholars Hub, 2021).



Liquidity Risk

Liquidity risk is a critical concern for Islamic banks because they cannot participate in conventional money markets or hold interest-bearing liquid assets. The scarcity of Shariah-compliant liquid instruments means Islamic banks must rely heavily on natural asset-liability matching and internal liquidity management strategies.

This limitation is compounded by the fact that many Islamic financing contracts are long-term and asset-backed, reducing the availability of quick liquid funds. Islamic banks also face challenges in accessing central bank liquidity facilities, which are often designed for interest-based instruments. Consequently, liquidity risk management requires innovative solutions such as the development of Islamic money markets and sukuk (Islamic bonds) with short maturities to provide more flexibility (World Bank, 2010).

Operational and Legal Risks

Islamic banks bear additional operational risks related to ensuring Shariah compliance across all products and services. This includes the risk of non-compliance with Shariah principles, which can lead to reputational damage, legal disputes, and financial losses. The presence of Shariah supervisory boards adds a layer of governance but also complexity in decision-making and operational processes.

Legal risk is heightened due to uncertainties in the interpretation and enforceability of Islamic contracts, which often differ from conventional contract law. Ambiguities in contract terms, differences in Shariah opinions, and varying regulatory environments across jurisdictions pose challenges for Islamic banks in maintaining consistent legal compliance (Ahangar et al., 2013). Therefore, Islamic banks require specialized legal expertise and robust internal controls to mitigate these risks effectively.

Risk Management Frameworks in Conventional and Islamic Finance

Effective risk management frameworks are crucial for the stability and sustainability of financial institutions. Both conventional and Islamic finance systems have developed comprehensive frameworks tailored to their operational



principles, regulatory requirements, and market dynamics. However, the approaches differ significantly due to the distinct nature of their financial contracts and ethical guidelines.

Conventional Finance Risk Management Framework

Conventional finance institutions primarily focus on minimizing financial risks related to interest rate fluctuations, credit defaults, liquidity shortages, and operational failures. Their risk management frameworks typically include:

- **Credit Scoring and Risk Assessment Models:** Conventional banks use quantitative models such as credit scoring, probability of default (PD), loss given default (LGD), and exposure at default (EAD) to evaluate borrower creditworthiness. These models rely heavily on historical financial data and statistical techniques.
- **Interest Rate Hedging:** To manage exposure to interest rate volatility, conventional banks employ derivatives such as interest rate swaps, futures, and options. These instruments help stabilize earnings and protect the bank's capital base.
- **Liquidity Ratios and Management:** Banks maintain liquidity ratios as per regulatory requirements (e.g., Liquidity Coverage Ratio under Basel III) to ensure sufficient liquid assets are available to meet short-term obligations.
- **Capital Adequacy Requirements:** Basel accords (Basel I, II, and III) provide a global regulatory framework mandating minimum capital reserves to absorb losses arising from credit, market, and operational risks.
- **Risk Monitoring and Reporting:** Conventional banks have established risk committees and internal audit functions to continuously monitor risk exposures, ensuring compliance with regulatory standards and internal policies.

Islamic Finance Risk Management Framework

Islamic finance integrates Shariah compliance as a core component of its risk management framework, which fundamentally shapes its risk identification, measurement, and mitigation strategies. Key elements include:



- **Shariah Supervisory Boards (SSBs):** Islamic financial institutions appoint SSBs comprising Islamic scholars to oversee and certify that all products, contracts, and operations comply with Islamic principles. These boards play a pivotal role in risk governance by preventing non-compliant transactions that could expose the institution to reputational and legal risks.
- **Profit-and-Loss Sharing Contracts:** Islamic finance uses contracts such as Mudarabah (profit-sharing) and Musharakah (joint venture), which inherently distribute financial risks between the bank and its clients. This participatory approach contrasts with the debt-based contracts of conventional banking and requires sophisticated risk-sharing mechanisms.
- **Avoidance of Prohibited Elements:** Risk identification and mitigation techniques are adapted to avoid riba (interest), gharar (excessive uncertainty), and maysir (gambling). This limits the use of conventional derivatives and necessitates the development of Shariah-compliant hedging instruments.
- **Enhanced Risk Reporting and Internal Controls:** Islamic banks incorporate Shariah compliance reports alongside financial risk disclosures. Internal controls include regular Shariah audits, ensuring that operational procedures and financial transactions adhere to Islamic ethics.

Governance and Oversight: Comparative Overview

Aspect	Conventional Finance	Islamic Finance
Board of Directors Role	Approves risk policies and oversees risk limits	Same as conventional, plus ensures Shariah compliance
Risk Reporting	Internal and regulatory financial risk reporting	Includes Shariah compliance reports and profit-loss disclosures
Risk Culture	Emphasizes financial risk mitigation and regulatory compliance	Emphasizes ethical, religious compliance alongside financial risks
Regulatory Supervision	Central banks and financial regulatory authorities	Central banks plus Shariah supervisory authorities

Source: AIMS UK (2025); Tariqullah Khan & Habib Ahmed (2009)



Comparative Analysis of Risk Management Effectiveness

Empirical studies and industry reports highlight several key differences in risk management effectiveness between Islamic and conventional banks:

- **Capital and Liquidity Risks:** Islamic banks generally exhibit lower capital and liquidity risks due to their asset-backed financing models and profit-and-loss sharing mechanisms. This structure encourages more prudent financing and better alignment of assets and liabilities, reducing the risk of liquidity shortfalls.
- **Credit and Market Risks:** Islamic banks tend to adopt a more conservative approach to credit risk management, focusing on asset-backed financing and rigorous project evaluation. However, their market risk exposure is moderate due to limited access to sophisticated hedging instruments, which can constrain their ability to manage market volatility effectively.
- **Operational Risks:** Islamic banks face unique operational risks linked to Shariah compliance and contract structuring. These include risks of non-compliance with Islamic principles, reputational damage from Shariah violations, and complexities in contract enforcement. The requirement for Shariah audits and supervisory boards adds layers of governance but also operational complexity.
- **Interest Rate Risk:** Conventional banks are more exposed to interest rate risk due to their reliance on debt-based instruments and interest income. Islamic banks, by contrast, avoid interest-based transactions, which reduces their vulnerability to interest rate fluctuations but introduces other risks related to profit variability.

Conclusion

Both conventional and Islamic finance systems have developed comprehensive and robust risk management frameworks that are essential for maintaining financial stability and protecting stakeholders' interests. However, the fundamental differences in their underlying principles shape the nature, focus, and implementation of these frameworks in distinct ways.



Conventional finance primarily relies on quantitative risk measurement techniques and a wide array of financial instruments designed to manage risk exposures, particularly those related to interest rate fluctuations, credit defaults, and market volatility. These techniques are supported by well-established global regulatory standards, such as the Basel accords, which provide clear guidelines on capital adequacy, liquidity management, and operational risk controls. The conventional system's reliance on debt-based instruments and interest income exposes it to specific risks, such as interest rate risk, but also enables the use of sophisticated hedging tools that enhance risk mitigation effectiveness.

In contrast, Islamic finance integrates ethical, religious, and legal compliance into every facet of its risk management framework. The prohibition of interest (riba), excessive uncertainty (gharar), and speculative behavior (maysir) fundamentally alters the risk landscape, requiring Islamic financial institutions to adopt participatory contracts like Mudarabah and Musharakah that inherently share profit and loss between parties. This participatory nature encourages a more equitable distribution of risk but also introduces unique challenges in risk measurement, liquidity management, and contract enforcement. The presence of Shariah supervisory boards adds an essential governance layer, ensuring that all products and operations adhere to Islamic principles, but also necessitates specialized expertise and internal controls.

This dual focus on financial prudence and religious adherence creates both opportunities and challenges for Islamic banks. On one hand, the asset-backed and risk-sharing nature of Islamic finance can contribute to greater financial stability and resilience, as losses are shared and speculative excesses are minimized. On the other hand, the limited availability of Shariah-compliant financial instruments and the complexity of ensuring continuous Shariah compliance can constrain Islamic banks' ability to manage risks as flexibly as their conventional counterparts.

To remain competitive and resilient in the increasingly interconnected global financial landscape, Islamic financial institutions must continuously innovate their risk management techniques. This includes developing new Shariah-compliant hedging instruments, improving liquidity management tools, enhancing risk measurement models tailored to Islamic contracts, and



strengthening governance frameworks that integrate both financial and religious oversight.

Furthermore, regulatory bodies and standard-setting organizations have a critical role in fostering an enabling environment that supports the growth and stability of Islamic finance. Harmonizing regulatory standards with Shariah principles and promoting transparency and best practices will help Islamic banks manage risks effectively while expanding their market reach.

In conclusion, while conventional and Islamic finance systems share the common goal of managing financial risks to safeguard their institutions and customers, their approaches reflect their unique philosophies and operational realities. Understanding these differences is vital for policymakers, regulators, practitioners, and investors who seek to engage with or develop these financial systems. The ongoing evolution of risk management in both systems promises to enhance their robustness, foster innovation, and contribute to the overall stability of the global financial sector.

References

- 1.Akbar, F. (2020) ‘Risk Management in Islamic Banking (A Growing Financial Services Market)’, Journal of Economics, Finance, Investment, and Sharia (EKUITAS), 1(2), pp. 111–119.
- 2.Alrukhayyes, Z., Grove, H. and Feldman, J. (2014) ‘Islamic Bank Risk Management Case’, Online Journal of International Case Analysis, 5(2).
- 3.COMCEC (2021) ‘Risk Management in Islamic Financial Instruments’, COMCEC Report, pp. 1–20.
- 4.Hassan, M.K. and Lewis, M.K. (eds.) (2007) Handbook of Islamic Banking. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- 5.ISFIN (n.d.) ‘Risk Management in Islamic Banking’, ISFIN.net, available at: [PDF].
- 6.Masood, O., et al. (2012) ‘Credit Risk in Islamic and Conventional Banks in UAE’, International Journal of Islamic and Middle Eastern Finance and Management, 5(2), pp. 148–160.



***Modern American Journal of Business,
Economics, and Entrepreneurship***

ISSN (E): 3067-7203

Volume 01, **Issue** 04, July, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

***This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons
Attribution 4.0 International License.***

7. Tariqullah Khan and Habib Ahmed (2009) 'Risk Management: An Analysis of Issues in Islamic Financial Industry', Islamic Research and Training Institute, pp. 1–18.

8. AIMS UK (2025) 'Risk Management in Islamic Banking & Finance', AIMS Education, 30 April.

9. Nelly, R., Siregar, S. and Sugianto, (2022) 'Risk Management Analysis in Islamic Banks: Literature Review', Journal of Islamic Accounting and Finance, 3(2), pp. 67–80.