



PSYCHOLOGICAL DISTANCE AS A BARRIER TO EFFECTIVE INTERACTION BETWEEN TEACHER AND STUDENT

Hulkar Mirkosimova
Chirchik State Pedagogical University”

Abstract

: This article examines psychological distance as a barrier to effective interaction between teachers and students in the context of higher education. Psychological distance, defined as the perceived emotional, cognitive, or social gap between individuals, can significantly influence communication, trust, and learning outcomes in the educational environment. The paper explores the theoretical foundations of psychological distance, its manifestations in pedagogical practice, and its impact on student engagement, motivation, and academic performance. Attention is given to cultural and institutional factors that contribute to this distance, particularly in the context of Uzbekistan’s educational system. The article also suggests strategies for reducing psychological distance and fostering more empathetic, inclusive, and productive teacher-student relationships. The findings underline the importance of emotional closeness, openness, and mutual respect in building effective educational communication.

Keywords: Psychological distance, educational communication, teacher-student interaction, emotional barrier, pedagogical relationship, trust, learning effectiveness, higher education, empathy, classroom dynamics.

Аннотация:

В данной статье рассматривается психологическая дистанция как барьер для эффективного взаимодействия между преподавателями и студентами в условиях высшего образования. Психологическая дистанция, определяемая как воспринимаемый эмоциональный, когнитивный или социальный разрыв между людьми, может существенно влиять на коммуникацию,



*Modern American Journal of Linguistics,
Education, and Pedagogy*

ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, Issue 02, May, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

*This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution
4.0 International License.*

доверие и результаты обучения в образовательной среде. В статье исследуются теоретические основы психологической дистанции, её проявления в педагогической практике и влияние на вовлечённость студентов, мотивацию и академическую успеваемость. Особое внимание уделяется культурным и институциональным факторам, способствующим возникновению данной дистанции, особенно в контексте системы образования Узбекистана. Также предлагаются стратегии снижения психологической дистанции и формирования более эмпатичных, инклюзивных и продуктивных отношений между преподавателем и студентом. Полученные результаты подчеркивают важность эмоциональной близости, открытости и взаимного уважения при построении эффективной образовательной коммуникации.

Ключевые слова: Психологическая дистанция, образовательная коммуникация, взаимодействие преподавателя и студента, эмоциональный барьер, педагогические отношения, доверие, эффективность обучения, высшее образование, эмпатия, динамика учебного процесса.

Annotatsiya:

Ushbu maqolada oliy ta'lim doirasida o'qituvchi va talaba o'rtasidagi samarali o'zaro ta'sirga to'sqinlik qiluvchi omil sifatida psixologik masofa muammosi ko'rib chiqiladi. Psixologik masofa — bu shaxslar o'rtasidagi seziladigan emotsional, kognitiv yoki ijtimoiy tafovut bo'lib, ta'lim muhiti ichidagi muloqot, ishonch va o'qish natijalariga jiddiy ta'sir ko'rsatishi mumkin. Maqolada psixologik masofaning nazariy asoslari, pedagogik amaliyotdagi ko'rinishlari hamda uning talabalar ishtiroki, motivatsiyasi va akademik natijalariga ta'siri tahlil qilinadi. Ayniqsa, O'zbekiston ta'lim tizimi kontekstida bu masofaga sabab bo'luvchi madaniy va institutsional omillarga alohida e'tibor qaratilgan. Shuningdek, maqolada psixologik masofani kamaytirish va o'qituvchi-talaba o'rtasida yanada empatik, inklyuziv va samarali munosabatlarni shakllantirish bo'yicha tavsiyalar berilgan. Tadqiqot natijalari ta'limiy muloqotni samarali qurishda emotsional yaqinlik, ochiqlik va o'zaro hurmat muhimligini ta'kidlaydi.



*Modern American Journal of Linguistics,
Education, and Pedagogy*

ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, Issue 02, May, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

*This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution
4.0 International License.*

Kalit so‘zlar: Psixologik masofa, ta’limiy muloqot, o‘qituvchi-talaba o‘zaro ta’siri, emotsional to‘siq, pedagogik munosabat, ishonch, o‘qish samaradorligi, oliy ta’lim, empatiya, dars dinamikasi.

Introduction

In the evolving landscape of modern education, the effectiveness of the teacher-student relationship plays a critical role in shaping not only academic achievement but also personal development, emotional resilience, and long-term motivation. While much attention has been given to curriculum design, digital tools, and assessment strategies, the subtler, more intangible dynamics of interpersonal interaction are often underestimated. One such factor is psychological distance — an invisible yet potent barrier that can hinder the depth, openness, and productivity of educational communication.

Psychological distance refers to the emotional, cognitive, or social detachment perceived between individuals in a communicative exchange. Originally developed as a concept in social psychology and communication studies, psychological distance has since been applied across various domains, including organizational behavior, cross-cultural communication, and education. In the classroom setting, psychological distance manifests through formal tone, rigid role hierarchies, lack of empathy, and minimal personal engagement. It creates an environment where students may feel hesitant to ask questions, express concerns, or actively participate, and where teachers may unconsciously reinforce authority without fostering mutual understanding.

The issue of psychological distance becomes even more salient in higher education settings, where traditional academic models often emphasize hierarchy, formality, and a unilateral flow of information. While such models aim to preserve academic rigor, they may unintentionally inhibit emotional closeness, especially when students face psychological challenges, cultural insecurities, or motivational decline. In Uzbekistan, where respect for elders and authority figures is deeply rooted in the cultural ethos, students may avoid challenging instructors or even refrain from seeking help due to perceived social boundaries. This ingrained distance, if not addressed, may silently erode student confidence and reduce the overall quality of educational engagement.



*Modern American Journal of Linguistics,
Education, and Pedagogy*

ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, Issue 02, May, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

*This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution
4.0 International License.*

Moreover, psychological distance is not solely shaped by behavior; it is also influenced by institutional structures, classroom norms, and personal expectations. For instance, large class sizes, exam-oriented learning, and a lack of interactive methods can reinforce teacher-centered environments where personal connection is minimized. From the teacher's perspective, the pressure to maintain control, fulfill academic obligations, and uphold discipline may limit their ability to build rapport or recognize individual student needs. In this context, psychological distance becomes both a product and a perpetuator of systemic educational practices.

The consequences of unaddressed psychological distance are multifaceted. Students in psychologically distant environments are less likely to develop a sense of belonging or academic self-efficacy. They may interpret teacher feedback as criticism rather than guidance, and struggle to articulate their learning difficulties. Teachers, on the other hand, may misinterpret student silence as disinterest, leading to miscommunication or disengagement. Over time, this dynamic may cultivate a climate of apathy, surface-level compliance, and low intrinsic motivation.

In contrast, minimizing psychological distance — through empathetic communication, collaborative learning environments, and mutual recognition — can transform the educational experience. Empirical research shows that students who perceive their teachers as approachable and emotionally supportive are more likely to persist in their studies, exhibit higher levels of motivation, and demonstrate improved cognitive performance. Teachers who engage in reflective communication and reduce hierarchical rigidity tend to foster more inclusive, participatory classrooms.

In light of these considerations, it is essential for educators, policy-makers, and educational psychologists to pay closer attention to the mechanisms of psychological distance and their implications for pedagogy. This requires not only conceptual understanding but also practical tools for assessing and reducing such distance in everyday educational settings. Strategies may include active listening, student feedback loops, role reversals in classroom discussions, and the use of narrative pedagogy to humanize the teacher's role. Additionally, teacher



*Modern American Journal of Linguistics,
Education, and Pedagogy*

ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, Issue 02, May, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

*This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution
4.0 International License.*

training programs should incorporate emotional intelligence and interpersonal communication skills as core competencies.

This article aims to explore psychological distance as a barrier to effective teacher-student interaction in higher education, with a particular focus on the Uzbek context. It draws from psychological theory, empirical studies, and pedagogical practices to analyze how psychological distance arises, how it impacts the quality of educational interaction, and what methods can be employed to bridge this gap. Ultimately, the paper advocates for a more relational and empathetic model of pedagogy — one that recognizes the power of human connection in unlocking intellectual and emotional potential.

Main Body

Psychological distance in educational settings can be categorized into several interrelated dimensions: emotional distance, cognitive distance, and social distance. Each of these dimensions plays a unique role in shaping how students perceive their teachers and how comfortable they feel engaging in the learning process. Understanding these layers is crucial to identifying and addressing the barriers they pose.

Emotional distance refers to the lack of emotional connection between teacher and student. This often results from a lack of empathy, warmth, or active listening during interactions. For example, a teacher who consistently maintains a stern or indifferent demeanor may unintentionally discourage students from expressing vulnerability or seeking assistance. Emotional distance creates an invisible wall that suppresses open dialogue, making the student feel like a passive recipient rather than an active participant. This is particularly problematic in psychological or sensitive subjects, where students must feel secure and supported to explore complex emotional topics.

Cognitive distance involves disparities in knowledge, language, expectations, and perspectives between the educator and the learner. While some cognitive distance is natural and even necessary in hierarchical learning structures, excessive distance may result in students perceiving the teacher as unrelatable or overly abstract. In Uzbekistan, where multilingual and multicultural diversity is common, cognitive distance may also arise from linguistic mismatches, where



*Modern American Journal of Linguistics,
Education, and Pedagogy*

ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, Issue 02, May, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

*This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution
4.0 International License.*

students struggle to grasp course materials delivered in a non-native or overly academic register. Teachers who fail to bridge this gap risk alienating learners and impeding knowledge absorption.

Social distance, on the other hand, encompasses differences in age, status, background, and perceived authority. In many post-Soviet and Central Asian academic cultures, including Uzbekistan, the traditional reverence for educators as untouchable figures intensifies social distance. While such cultural norms aim to instill respect and discipline, they can simultaneously hinder informal interaction, humor, or mutual understanding. Students may refrain from disagreeing with instructors or may avoid presenting innovative ideas due to fear of being misunderstood or judged. Social distance thus acts as a powerful inhibitor of critical thinking and creativity.

The effects of psychological distance are not confined to individual discomfort — they manifest in measurable academic and behavioral outcomes. Studies in educational psychology have shown that high psychological distance correlates with decreased classroom participation, lower student motivation, and higher dropout intentions. Students who perceive their teachers as distant are more likely to experience anxiety, develop surface learning strategies, and show resistance to feedback. For teachers, psychological distance may result in burnout, reduced job satisfaction, and misinterpretation of student behavior.

Addressing psychological distance requires intentional pedagogical strategies that foster emotional connection and mutual recognition. One of the most effective approaches is the cultivation of empathy. When teachers make a conscious effort to understand their students' emotions, challenges, and learning styles, they not only reduce distance but also enhance the educational bond. This may include small but powerful behaviors such as using students' names, remembering personal details, offering constructive and personalized feedback, and showing patience during misunderstandings.

Interactive teaching methods also play a critical role in bridging psychological distance. Techniques such as group discussions, role-playing, peer evaluation, and flipped classrooms help to flatten the traditional hierarchy and encourage students to assume active roles in their own learning. Teachers who act more as facilitators rather than authoritarian figures create an atmosphere of shared



*Modern American Journal of Linguistics,
Education, and Pedagogy*

ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, Issue 02, May, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

*This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution
4.0 International License.*

responsibility and respect. In Uzbekistan's higher education system, which is undergoing reform and modernization, the shift toward student-centered learning offers a strategic opportunity to dismantle the remnants of rigid pedagogical traditions.

Cultural competence is another important factor. Educators should be aware of their students' cultural backgrounds, socio-economic realities, and generational values. In contexts where students are hesitant to speak up, teachers may need to create safer communication channels, such as anonymous surveys or one-on-one sessions. Being culturally sensitive does not mean lowering academic standards, but rather adjusting delivery methods to ensure inclusivity and relevance.

Finally, teacher training programs must prioritize the development of interpersonal and emotional intelligence skills. While technical knowledge remains essential, the ability to build rapport, manage classroom dynamics, and respond empathetically to student needs is equally vital. Universities and pedagogical institutes in Uzbekistan should consider integrating modules on psychological distance and relational pedagogy into their teacher education curricula. Workshops, reflective journals, and mentorship schemes can further reinforce these competencies.

To conclude this section, it is evident that psychological distance is not merely a matter of personality or preference; it is a structural, cultural, and psychological phenomenon that demands systemic awareness and intentional response. By recognizing its multi-layered nature and actively employing strategies to reduce it, educators can build more meaningful, effective, and human-centered learning environments.

Conclusion

Psychological distance, while often invisible and unspoken, exerts a powerful influence on the quality of educational interaction. As demonstrated throughout this article, its presence in the teacher-student relationship can lead to miscommunication, emotional detachment, reduced academic engagement, and a weakened sense of belonging within the educational setting. In Uzbekistan and similar educational contexts with hierarchical traditions and formality in teacher-student dynamics, psychological distance may persist as a cultural norm,



*Modern American Journal of Linguistics,
Education, and Pedagogy*

ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, Issue 02, May, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

*This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution
4.0 International License.*

reinforcing patterns of silence, obedience, and disengagement. However, in an era increasingly defined by personalization, inclusivity, and student-centered learning, such distance must be critically reexamined and actively addressed.

Empirical studies and pedagogical experience suggest that the most effective learning occurs in environments where mutual respect, empathy, and emotional safety are present. Reducing psychological distance does not require compromising authority or lowering academic standards. Rather, it entails building human connection — an atmosphere where students feel seen, heard, and understood. Teachers who demonstrate emotional availability, actively listen to student feedback, and maintain an open and reflective approach not only enrich the educational process but also serve as models of emotional intelligence and interpersonal growth.

In the broader psychological framework, psychological distance can be understood as a barrier not just to communication, but to identity formation, motivation, and cognitive development. When students perceive their instructors as distant, unapproachable, or uninterested, they are less likely to take academic risks, ask critical questions, or invest in long-term learning goals. This is especially significant for students navigating developmental transitions, socio-emotional stressors, or cultural adjustment. Teachers, in turn, may misinterpret student behavior, leading to frustration, stereotyping, or neglect of individual learning needs.

Bridging psychological distance requires a multifaceted strategy. On the interpersonal level, educators should prioritize empathy, personal engagement, and emotional attunement. On the institutional level, universities and academic policy-makers should promote teaching frameworks that emphasize active learning, participatory dialogue, and psychological safety. Culturally, there is a need for dialogue around traditional teacher-student dynamics and the value of transforming authority into mentorship.

For Uzbekistan's higher education system, which is currently undergoing significant reform, the reduction of psychological distance aligns with national goals of modernizing education, increasing student autonomy, and promoting critical thinking. By integrating relational pedagogy into teacher training, encouraging reflective teaching practices, and designing emotionally responsive



classrooms, psychological distance can be transformed from a barrier into an opportunity for growth and reform.

It is also important to recognize that psychological distance cannot be eliminated entirely — nor should it be. Some level of distance is necessary to maintain professional boundaries, ensure respect, and support differentiated roles in the classroom. The goal is not to dissolve structure, but to make it flexible, responsive, and humane. Striking the right balance between authority and accessibility is the hallmark of effective teaching.

Ultimately, reducing psychological distance is a continuous, reflective process that demands commitment, self-awareness, and adaptability from educators. As we move toward more human-centered models of learning, the emotional and relational components of education must be given equal weight alongside content and evaluation. Teachers are not just transmitters of knowledge; they are co-creators of meaning, trust, and transformation. In this light, narrowing the psychological gap between teacher and learner is not just a pedagogical adjustment — it is a moral and developmental imperative.

REFERENCES

1. Muxammedova, X. (2024). VIKTORIAN DAVRI INGLIZ ADABIYOTIDA KUNDALIK JANRI ADABIY VOSITA SIFATIDA. TAMADDUN NURI JURNALI, 9(60).
2. Muxammedova, X. (2024). SHARLOTTA BRONTE IJODIDA IJTIMOYIY-REALISTIK XUSUSIYATLARNING AKS ETISHI. Konferensiyalar| Conferences, 1(12), 266-270.
3. Мухаммедова, X. (2024). Jorj eliot va viktorian davr tafakkuri. Актуальные вопросы лингвистики и преподавания иностранных языков: достижения и инновации, 1(1), 396-399.
4. Muxammedova, X. E. (2024). THE IMPACT OF INDUSTRY NOVEL IN CHARLOTTE BRONTE WORKS. Science and innovation, 3(Special Issue 2), 87-89.
5. Eliboyevna, M. X. (2023). The Specific Types of Female Images in Victorian Novels. Periodica Journal of Modern Philosophy, Social Sciences and Humanities, 25, 12-14.



6. Таджибаев, А. Б. (2023). УМУМТАЪЛИМ МАКТАБЛАРИДА ГЕОГРАФИЯ ВА ЧИЗМАЧИЛИК ЎҚИТУВЧИЛАРИНИНГ ИНТЕГРАТИВ ҲАМКОРЛИГИ. О ‘ZBEKISTON RESPUBLIKASI OLIY TA’LIM, FAN VA INNOVATSIYALAR VAZIRLIGI NAMANGAN DAVLAT UNIVERSITETI GEOGRAFIYA KAFEDRASI, 360.
7. Batirovich, T. A. (2022). Challenges and solutions to increase the efficiency of graphic education on the basis of interdiscipline membership. *Asian Journal of Research in Social Sciences and Humanities*, 12(1), 265-268.
8. Tadjibaev, A. B. (2022). INCREASING THE EFFECTIVENESS OF DRAWING TEACHING ON THE BASIS OF HISTORY AND MODERN SOURCES OPPORTUNITIES. In *СОВРЕМЕННЫЕ ПРОБЛЕМЫ НАУКИ И ОБРАЗОВАНИЯ* (pp. 98-99).
9. Таджибаев, А. Б. (2020). Педагогические технологии повышения эффективности графического образования в общеобразовательных средних школах (на примере интеграции точных и естественных наук). In *Инновационные технологии в технике и образовании* (pp. 112-123).
10. Tadjibaev, A. B. (2019). PEDAGOGICAL MECHANISMS FOR PUTTING INTO PRACTICE A UNIFIED SYSTEM OF GRAPHIC EDUCATION BASED ON THE INTEGRATION OF EXACT AND NATURAL SCIENCES. *Scientific Bulletin of Namangan State University*, 1(8), 344-348.
11. Qobilbek, N. (2024). Kognitiv lingvistika zamonaviy tilshunoslikning yangi yo ‘nalishi sifatida. *theory of scientific researches of whole worldt*, 1(2), 41-50.
12. Qobilbek, N. (2024). The phenomenon of conceptual metaphor in proverbs with a somatic component. *Web of Scientist: International Scientific Research Journal*, 5(6), 65-69.
13. Qobilbek, N. (2024). Maqollar orqali yashirin axborotning ifodalanish. *Xorazm Ma'mun Akademiyasi*, 5(1), 252-254.
14. Qobilbek, N. (2023). О ‘zbek va ingliz tillarida it zoonimli ayrim maqollarning kognitiv-semantik tahlili. *Miasto Przyszłości*, 36, 154-157.
15. Qobilbek, N. (2023). O'zbek va ingliz tillarida tana a'zolari bilan bog'liq maqollarning kognitiv-semantik tahlili. *Xorazm Ma'mun Akademiyasi*, 1(1), 112-115.



16. Таджибаев, А. Б. (2018). ИНФОРМАЦИОННО-ИНТЕГРАТИВНЫЕ ОСНОВЫ ПОВЫШЕНИЯ ЭФФЕКТИВНОСТИ ГРАФИЧЕСКОГО ОБРАЗОВАНИЯ. In INTERNATIONAL SCIENTIFIC REVIEW OF THE PROBLEMS OF PEDAGOGY AND PSYCHOLOGY (pp. 17-19).
17. Abduqahhorova, X. A. (2024). TERMIN VA REALIYALARNING O 'ZIGA XOS XUSUSIYATLARI. Inter education & global study, (10 (1)), 558-563.
18. Akhmedov, B. A. (2023). Improvement of the digital economy and its significance in higher education in tashkent region. Uzbek Scholar Journal, 12, 18-21.
19. Akhmedov, B. A., & Jakhongirova, Y. J. (2022). Use of Information Technologies in The Development of Writing and Speech Skills. Uzbek Scholar Journal, 9, 153-159.
20. Akhmedov, B. A., & Dulan, J. (2025). Creation of a smart employment tracking system for university graduates using AI. EduVision: Journal of Innovations in Pedagogy and Educational Advancements, 1(1), 22-41.
21. Akhmedov, B. A. (2023). Innovative pedagogical technologies in the modern educational system. World Bulletin of Social Sciences, 19, 107-112.
22. Akhmedov, B. A. (2022). Principles of Developing the Professional Competence of Future Teachers on the basis of a Cluster Approach. Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal, 10(6), 760-770.
23. Akhmedov, B. A., & all (2022). Pedagogical science education management in teaching science of pedagogical sciences. Uzbek Scholar Journal, 10, 529-537.
24. Ахмедов, Б. А. (2022). Развития индикаторов рынка труда молодежи в условиях трансформационных преобразований. Вестник НамГУ, 4(1), 77-85.
25. Ахмедов, Б. А. (2023). Анализ эффективности формы профессиональной подготовки молодых специалистов в процессе трудоустройства. Вестник НамГУ, 1(4), 125-131.
26. Ахмедов, Б. А. (2023). Реформирование трудоустройства молодых специалистов в условиях нового этапа развития Республики Узбекистан. Мировая наука–Проблемы и перспективы развития, 1(1), 92-115.



27. Ахмедов, Б. А. (2021). Таълимда ахборот технологиялари фанининг модуллари ни ўқитишда кластерли-инновацион технологиялардан фойдаланиш тамойиллари. *О‘zbekiston respublikasi oliy va o‘rta maxsus ta’lim vazirligi*, 441(15), 18-21.
28. Akhmedov, B. A. (2022). Methodology of teaching informatics in underdeveloped schools of the Tashkent region. *FIZIKA MATEMATIKA va INFORMATIKA*, 1(6), 66-74.
29. Ахмедов, Б. А. (2022). Профессиональный выбор и предпочтения молодых специалистов вузов Узбекистана. *Хорезмская академия Маъмуна*, 5(2), 209-218.
30. Akhmedov, B. A., & Jakhongirova, Y. J. (2022). Methodology of teaching english: approach of national way. *Uzbek Scholar Journal*, 10, 218-224.
31. Ахмедов, Б. А. (2022). Повышение питательности завтрака с помощью биодобавок для укрепления здоровья студентов. *Uzbek Scholar Journal*, 11, 7-11.
32. Akhmedov, B. A. (2022). Psychological and pedagogical possibilities of forming tolerance in future teachers. *Uzbek Scholar Journal*, 11, 289-295.
33. Akhmedov, B. A. (2023). Muammoli ta’limda Suqrotona yondashuv metodikasi. *Mugallim*, 6(3), 126-130.
34. Ахмедов, Б. А. (2023). Модель управления процессами распределения молодых специалистов в профессию. *БухГУ Образование и инновационные исследования*, 1(3), 339-350.
35. Akhmedov, B. A. (2023). Methods to increase algorithmic thinking in primary education. *Uzbek Scholar Journal*, 12, 22-26.
36. Ахмедов, Б. А. (2024). Модель трудоустройства молодого специалиста в современном обществе. *Проблемы применения инновационных и цифровых технологий в системе образования*, 1(1), 955-970.
37. Akhmedov, B. A. (2023). Umumta’lim maktablarida informatika va axborot texnologiyalari fanini muammoli o‘qitish metodlarining turlanishi. *Ta’lim fan va innovatsiya*, 6(1), 478-480.
38. Ахмедов, Б. А. (2023). Интеграллашган таълимда талабалар билимларини виртуал тест назорат қилиш тизимларини ишлаб чиқиш концепцияси. *PEDAGOG*, 6(1), 86-92.



-
39. Ахмедов, Б. (2018). Oliy ta'lim muassasalarida chet tili o'rganish jarayonida elektron ta'lim kurslarini qo'llash tamoyillari. Toshkent davlat pedagogika universiteti, 1(1), 51-56.
40. Abduqahhorova, X. A. Q. (2023). O 'ZBEK TILINING IZOHLI LUG 'ATLARIDAGI TARIXGA OID TERMINLARNING SEMANTIK TUZILISHI, XUSUSIYATLARI. Academic research in educational sciences, 4(5), 882-896.
41. Abduqahhorova, X. A. Q. (2022). ONA TILI MASHG 'ULOTLARI O 'QUV TOPSHIRIQLARINING BERILISHI VA ULARNING MAZMUNI. Academic research in educational sciences, 3(5), 237-243.
42. Абдурасул, Х., & Абдукаххорова, Қ. (2021). ИЖТИМОЙ ЧЕГАРАЛАНГАН ЛЕКСИКАНИНГ НУТҚИМИЗДАГИ ЎРНИ. Scientific progress, 2(4), 886-880.
43. Abduqahhorova, X. A. (2021). Kundalik hayotda qo'llaniluvchi chegaralangan leksik qatlam va uning vazifalari. Актуальные вызовы современное науки, 5(59), 208-212.
44. Кадирова, О. Х. (2024). Литературный цикл и его функции в литературе XX века. Хоразм та'mun akademiyasi axborotnomasi, 1(12), 545-548.
45. Кадирова, О. Х. (2024). Жанровое многообразие циклических произведений. Филологические исследования: язык, литература, образование, 2(12), 83-90.
46. Кадирова, О. Х. (2024). Исторический обзор развития литературного цикла. Modern Science and Research, 3(10), 403-406.
47. Khamedovna, K. O. (2024). Понятие и особенности литературного цикла. Philological research: language, literature, education, 8(9).
48. Kadirova, O. K. (2023). Small epic genre in Russian and Uzbek literature from the aspect of cyclicity (using the example of literature of the 20th century). International Scientific Journal Theoretical & Applied Science, 3(12), 173-177.
49. Kadirova, O. H., Yusupaliyeva, F. Y. (2023). Methods of development of English-speaking skills of elementary school students. Ethiopian International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research, 10(11), 332-334.



-
50. Kadirova, O. K. (2023). Cyclization and cycle in Russian and Uzbek literature of the early 20 the century. TA'LIM FAN VA INNOVATSIYA, 2(6), 440-443.
 51. Кадирова, О. Х. (2023). Проблема циклизации малых прозаических форм в русской литературе начала 20 века. Филологические исследования: язык, литература, образование, 8(9), 53-57.
 52. Тожибоева, Г. Р., & Нисамбекова, С. (2021). Инклюзивное образование в условиях современной образовательной среды. Academic research in educational sciences, 2(4), 1436-1442.
 53. Тожибоева, Г. Р., & Умарова, З. (2024). Проблема развития творческих способностей студентов в процессе обучения. Science and innovation, 3(Special Issue 18), 529-532.
 54. Tojiboyeva, G. R. (2022). Development of the skill of calligraphic writing as a professional competence in a future primary school teacher. Galaxy International Interdisciplinary Research Journal, 10(12), 830-835.
 55. Rifovna, T. G. (2022). The specificity of the school" rivoqe"(development) in the development of the professional competence of the future primary education teacher. Conferencea, 478-480.
 56. Тожибоева, Г. Р. (2022). Профессиональная компетентность учителя начальных классов. World scientific research journal, 2(1), 94-99.
 57. Тожибоева, Г. Р., & Бекирова, Э. Р. (2020). Актуализация гендерного равенства в современном обществе. Academic research in educational sciences, (4), 389-395.
 58. Тожибоева, Г. Р., & Бекирова, Э. (2022). Формы развития социальной компетентности младших школьников во внеурочной деятельности. Conferencea, 244-246.