Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 01, Issue 05, August, 2025
Website: usajournals.org

ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE-BASED LEARNING: COMBINING HUMAN-BASED LEARNING WITH MACHINE INTELLIGENCE

Zulfiya Khabirova
Independent Researcher and Acting Associate Professor
Ajou University in Tashkent
zulya.uz@gmail.com

Abstract
The rapid development of artificial intelligence (AI) has significant implications for education. AI technologies offer the potential for improved customization, efficiency, and analytics; however, they also provoke ethical dilemmas and challenge traditional pedagogical roles. This study explores the successful integration of human-based pedagogy with AI-based technologies to establish a balanced, human-centered approach to learning. This study examines the theoretical foundations, methodological techniques, and actual implementations to formulate a framework called TEACH-AI (Technologies for Ethical, Adaptive, Complementary, Human-Centered AI). The study's findings show that AI improves customization, feedback, and formative assessment, while teachers are essential for social-emotional learning, moral reasoning, and contextual judgment. The study suggests that the future of education is based on symbiotic collaboration between humans and intelligent technologies that enhance rather than replace each other.

Keywords: Artificial intelligence, pedagogy, blended learning, teacher practice, intelligent tutoring systems, educational technology, customization, and human-AI collaboration are all important words.

Introduction

In the twenty-first century, artificial intelligence (AI) has become a powerful force for change in many fields, including education. AI tools such as intelligent

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 01, Issue 05, August, 2025
Website: usajournals.org

tutoring platforms, adaptive testing, and automated assessment give teachers and students more opportunities than ever to customize learning, receive immediate feedback, and automate daily learning activities. The appeal of AI in education stems from its ability to address persistent challenges such as overcrowded classrooms, inconsistent feedback, and unequal access to high-quality learning. However, there is also much disagreement about the use of AI in schools. Those who love it praise its accuracy and potential for growth, while those who dislike it criticize its moral limitations, openness, and impact on the teaching profession. The key question in education is not whether AI should be used, but how it should be designed and used to work with human knowledge, not replace it. Selwyn (2019) argues that education must remain a fundamentally human endeavor, emphasizing empathy, creativity, and thinking dimensions that robots cannot fully mimic. This study explores the integration of AI with human education, examining the theoretical, methodological, and practical aspects of AI enhanced learning. The discussion begins with important questions about the potential of AI in education, then moves onto specific applications and teachers 'experiences. Finally, it concludes by introducing a hybrid approach that preserves the integrity of learning while leveraging machine intelligence. The work is driven by three inter related goals: to establish evidence-based advantages and disadvantages of AI in education, to explore methods for preserving and enhancing human pedagogy in AI mediated contexts, and to propose a pragmatic model that effectively integrates both domains.

Methodology Conceptual Framework

This study uses a conceptual synthesis methodology, integrating in formation from existing literature, case studies, and meta-analyses to develop a coherent framework. The analysis draws on concepts from educational psychology, cognitive science, human-computer interaction, and technological ethics. We obtained our sources from academic databases including Scopus, Web of Science, and ERIC. We only reviewed articles from 2010 to 2024.

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 01, Issue 05, August, 2025
Website: usajournals.org

Data Collection and Analysis

We reviewed peer-reviewed studies to find evidence of learning outcomes improved by AI, teacher experiences, ethical issues, and real-world challenges. The results were organized into three groups by topic: theoretical foundations (learning theories and teaching models), methodological implementations (AI-based assessment systems and methods), and practical implications (teacher practices, classroom dynamics, and ethical issues). From these areas, recurring patterns were identified for the development of the TEACH-AI framework. This work does not introduce new empirical evidence; rather, it synthesizes the results of existing research to generate meaningful educational insights.

Limitations

The weaknesses of the study as a conceptual review relate to its reliance on secondary data and reported findings that demonstrate varying levels of scientific rigor. However, triangulation of multiple studies in different settings ensures the validity and comprehensiveness of the understanding.

Results Teachin gSkills with AI

AI has proven to be quite useful in making learning more personalized. Adaptive learning platforms change lesson content in real time based on student responses, which maintains student interest and makes hands-on learning more effective. ASSISTments (Heffernan & Heffernan, 2014) and Cognitive Tutor (Koedinger et al., 2012) are two examples of systems that can adapt in this way. AI finds patterns of misunderstanding by continuously reviewing learning traces and then provides feedback based on those patterns. Formative assessment is another area where they have proven themselves well. Automated assessment systems and natural language processing techniques allow for rapid feedback on both objective and open-ended tasks. Research shows that timely feedback significantly improves student motivation and achievement (VanLehn, 2011). AI-based analytics can also help teachers by showing them classroom trends, identifying students who are struggling, andsuggestingspecificactiontohelpthem.

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 01, Issue 05, August, 2025
Website: usajournals.org

Where there are limitations

AI systems still have several weaknesses, although they are strong in some areas. They often have difficulty understanding complex, context-sensitive reasoning, especially when moral, cultural, or artistic considerations are taken into account. Algorithms cannot truly replicate emotional intelligence, which is a key part of quality education. No machine can do what teachers do: provide support, understand, and make moral decisions. In addition, the issue of algorithmic bias remains important: AI technologies can worsen social inequalities if educational data demonstrates this (Holmes&Porayska-Pomsta, 2018). People are still concerned about transparency and how easy it is to understand. Teachers cannot understand how an AI system makes a certain recommendation, which makes them less likely to trust it and less able to make decisions. If the models cannot be explained, teachers may simply passively use the AI results instead of being active teachers.

Table 1. Comparison of strengths of AI systems and human teachers

Domain	**Strengths of a human teacher**	**Strengths of the artificial intelligence system**
Pedagogical judgment	Intuition, empathy, contextual sensitivity	Data-driven adaptability, precise feedback
Motivation	Inspiration, relationship building	Gamification, progress visualization
Evaluation	Holistic assessment, ethical judgment	Automated evaluation, analytics at scale
Personalization	Differentiated learning	Individualized practice on a large scale
Ethics and justice	Moral considerations, cultural awareness	Consistently ensuring compliance with confidentiality rules and procedural norms

TEACH-AI Framework

Data integration has led to the creation of the TEACH-AI Framework, which advocates for ethical, adaptive, and human-centered design of AI for educational environments. It is based on five interdependent concepts. First, “teacher-in-the-loop” solutions should make teachers the ultimate decision-makers in AI-

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 01, Issue 05, August, 2025
Website: usajournals.org

mediated processes. Teachers should still be able to read analytics and override automated suggestions when necessary. Second, ethical standards should protect privacy, check for bias, and ensure clarity of data policies. Third, the adaptive domain hands over repetitive or data-heavy tasks to AI, leaving teachers with interpretive and relational tasks. Fourth, additional interfaces should provide teachers with toolbars that turn AI information into useful insights, not just technical data. Finally, continuous co-design emphasizes collaboration between teachers, students, and developers to ensure that new technologies meet the real needs of the classroom.

How to use it

AI can conduct an initial diagnostic assessment in a blended classroom to see what they already know. The teacher then reviews this analysis to modify lesson plans and divide students into groups that will help each other. AI technologies provide adaptive activities and instant feedback during lessons while the teacher moves around the room to help students think more deeply and solve emotional or intellectual problems. After the lesson, analytics show how students are learning, which helps the teacher decide what to do next. This ongoing collaboration ensures that AI is supporting human teachers, not replacing them.

Discussion

The main idea is complementarity

The main idea that emerges from this synthesis is that AI and human-assisted instruction work best together. AI excels at complex tasks, large amounts of data, and precise tasks. Teachers, on the other hand, help students find purpose, stay motivated, and learn ethics. When combined wisely, these skills create a synergy of learning that neither can achieve on its own.

Empirical research by Pane et al. (2015) and Koedinger et al. (2012) confirms that adaptive AI-based systems improve learning outcomes, especially in fundamental disciplines such as mathematics and language. However, as Lakin (2018) argues, learning goes beyond simply accumulating correct answers; it involves developing judgment, curiosity, and empathy. These traits depend on interpersonal connections that no machine can replicate.

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 01, Issue 05, August, 2025
Website: usajournals.org

Ethical and Fairness Issues

Ethics are very important for AI-based learning. Issues surrounding data privacy, algorithmic bias, and learner autonomy require clear governance frameworks. Holmes and Porajska-Pomsta (2018) argue that educators should carefully examine the values inherent in AI design and ensure that systems serve a diverse range of learners equally. Policymakers should develop ethical frameworks that require bias checks, data protection protocols, and criteria for explanation.

Teacher autonomy and professional identity

Teachers are concerned about job losses as AI enters schools. Most research, on the other hand, argues that AI adds to, rather than takes away from, teaching responsibilities. Teachers are becoming "instructional designers," planning how humans and machines will work together and using analytics to make better decisions. As Dede (2016) emphasizes, professional growth is important; educators need to be skilled at analyzing AI outcomes, navigating digital ethics, and formulating integrated instructional approaches.

Future directions for research and application

More research is needed to test blended learning models based on humans and AI in diverse educational settings. Longitudinal studies should examine the impact of continued AI integration on student motivation, teacher workload, and educational equity. Technical innovations should emphasize interpretability and co-design, while pedagogical research should examine the evolution of teacher responsibilities in AI-rich environments. The intersection of learning analytics, cognitive science, and human ethics is poised to shape the future frontiers of educational research.

Conclusions

AI-powered learning is a key opportunity to make education more personalized, effective, and reflective. But this change must still be grounded in how humans learn. Teacher empathy, ethical considerations, and contextual awareness serve as a moral compass to guide the implementation of AI in education.

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 01, Issue 05, August, 2025
Website: usajournals.org

The TEACH-AI framework provides a method for creating and using AI technologies that are ethically based, responsive to learning, and put people first. Education systems can harness the computational power of AI without giving up human control by involving teachers in the decision-making process. The future of education is not a competition between human and machine intelligence; it is a collaboration that combines accuracy with empathy, data with conversation, and automation with meaning.

References

1. Luckin, R., Holmes, W., Griffiths, M., & Forcier, LB (2016). Unveiling Intelligence: The Case for Artificial Intelligence in Schools. Pearson.
2. Holmes, W., Bialik, M., and Fadel, C. (2019). Artificial intelligence in education: potential and implications for pedagogy and learning. Redesigning the learning center.
3. K. VanLehn (2011). Comparative effectiveness of human-assisted instruction, intelligent instructional systems, and other teaching methods. Educational Psychologist, 46(4), 197–221.
4. Woolf, BP (2010). Creating intelligent, interactive tutors. Morgan Kaufmann.
5. Koedinger, KR, Corbett, AT, and Perfetti, C. (2012). A framework for learning through learning. Cognitive Science, 36(5), 757–798.
6. Baker, RS (2019). Challenges facing the educational data mining industry. Journal of Educational Data Mining, 11(1), 1–17.
7. Heffernan, N. and Heffernan, C. (2014). The ASSISTments ecosystem. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 24(4), 470–497.
8. Pane, JF, Steiner, ED, Baird, MD, and Hamilton, LS (2015). Further progress: encouraging signs of personalized learning. The RAND Corporation.
9. Selwyn, N. (2019). Should robots replace teachers? Polity Press.
10. R. Luckin (2018). Machine learning and human intelligence. UCL Press.
11. Holmes, W. (2022). Recent developments and best practices in artificial intelligence in education. European Journal of Education, 57(2), 233–249.
12. Baker, RS, and Siemens, G. (2014). Learning analytics and educational data mining. Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences, pp. 253–274.

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 01, Issue 05, August, 2025
Website: usajournals.org

13. D'Mello, S., and Graesser, A. (2012). The dynamics of emotional states during complex learning. Learning and Instruction, 22(2), 145–157.
14. Aleven, V., McLaughlin, E., Glenn, R., and Koedinger, K. (2016). Cognitive writing tools for tutors. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 26(1), 144–174.
15. Romero, C., and Ventura, S. (2010). A review of educational data mining. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, 40(6), 601–618.
16. Corrin, L., and de Barba, P. (2019). Teachers and artificial intelligence: perspectives, opportunities, and challenges. Learning, Media, and Technology, 44(3), 283–299.
17. Holmes, V., and Porajska-Pomsta, K. (2018). Ethical paradigms for AI in education. AI and Society, 33(4), 535–545.
18. Weller, A. et al. (2019). Teacher Dashboards and Assessments that Help Students Learn. Computers and Education, 130, 13–28.
19. Dede, K. (2016). The Role of Digital Technologies in Enhancing Learning. Accountability in Research, 23(2), 80–94.
20. Popenici, S.A. and Kerr, S. (2017). Exploring the Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Higher Education. Research and Practice in Technology-Enhanced Learning, 12(1), 1–13.
21. Bialik, M. and Fadel, K. (2018). Skills for the 21st Century. Center for Curriculum Reengineering.
22. Wolfe, B.P. (2013). Building Intelligent Tutors. Journal of AI, 34(3), 77–92.
23. K. VanLen (2006). How Tutoring Programs Work. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 16(3), 227–265.
24. Baker, R. (2016). The challenges of accurately assessing learning. Journal of Learning Analytics, 3(2), 1–8.
25. Romero, C. and Ventura, S. (2020). Ten years on, data analytics in education. Computers and Education, 159, 103–128.
26. Lukin, R. and Tsukurova, M. (2019). Creating educational tools in the age of artificial intelligence. British Journal of Educational Technology, 50(6), 2824–2841.

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 01, Issue 05, August, 2025
Website: usajournals.org

27. Roll, I. and Wylie, R. (2016). Progress and revolution of artificial intelligence in education. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 26(2), 582–599.
28. Holmes, W., Tuomi, I., and Cook, D. (2022). The Current State of Artificial Intelligence in Education. OECD Education Working Papers, 274.
29. Holmes, W., Bialik, M. and Fadel, K. (2019). Artificial Intelligence in Education: Potential and Implications for Pedagogy and Learning. Redesigning the Learning Center.
30. Koedinger, K.R. and Aleven, W. (2016). Exploring the Problem of AI Teacher Assistance. Educational Research Review, 86(4), 943–980.