



THE IMPACT OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE ON A PERSON'S VALUE SYSTEM: A SOCIO- PSYCHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS

Boboyev Khamdam Atakhanovich

Researcher of the Department of Pedagogy and Psychology
National Pedagogical University of Uzbekistan Named after Nizami

Abstract

This article analyzes the socio-psychological impact of artificial intelligence (AI) technologies on personal value systems. Based on international and local experience, the study examines both the positive and negative consequences of AI implementation in education, culture, and social relations. In addition, issues related to AI ethics, algorithmic fairness, privacy protection, and technological dependence are evaluated using scientific sources, and practical recommendations are proposed.

Keywords: Artificial intellect, values system, ethics, education, algorithmic justice, AI ethics.

Introduction

In the 21st century, the rapid development of artificial intelligence technologies has penetrated almost all spheres of human life. The widespread use of AI in the fields of education, healthcare, economics and culture has a direct and indirect impact on the value system of a person. The value system is an important psychological factor that determines a person's life position, decision-making process and behavior [6].

The purpose of this article is to scientifically analyze the positive and negative effects of artificial intelligence technologies on the system of personal values and develop proposals aimed at balancing them [8].



Values system concept and theoretical basics

In psychology, a value system is interpreted as a fundamental psychological construct that governs a person's life position, decision-making processes, goals, and behavior. It is formed through social environment, upbringing, education, and personal experience [24].

According to Schwartz's theory, values are divided into ten categories and analyzed along two main dimensions: openness to change and the desire for stability. Artificial intelligence technologies can significantly affect both of these dimensions [13].

Dependency between artificial intellect and values system

AI impacts value systems through several key mechanisms:

- **Information flow control** - algorithms determine the volume and content of information presented to the user [4].
- **Decision automation** - AI systems make decisions by prioritizing certain values [5].
- **Cultural transmission** - cultural values are disseminated or transformed globally through digital platforms [13].

The concept of Value Alignment, widely used in research on AI ethics, emphasizes the need to align AI systems with human values. [2].

Positive Impact of Artificial Intelligence on Values

1. Expanding Access to Knowledge

AI based platforms (Google Scholar, ChatGPT and others) scientific knowledge democratize and educate aspiration and critical thinking values strengthens [1].

2. Social equality and inclusiveness

AI-based assistive technologies designed for people with disabilities foster values of inclusion, empathy, and collaboration. According to the Microsoft Inclusive Tech Lab, such technologies can improve the quality of life for billions of people. [27].



3. Moral consciousness development

Educational platforms like 'Moral Machine' increase young people's ability to analyze moral dilemmas and develop values of responsibility and justice [6].

4. Intercultural communication

Automatic translation systems enhance intercultural understanding, foster tolerance and international cooperation [9].

The negative impact of artificial intelligence on values

1. Global algorithmic content can crowd out local cultures. According to UNESCO reports, this process is leading to cultural unification [13].

2. Moral relativism

The weakening of moral constraints in virtual environments negatively affects the values of responsibility in real life [30].

3. Algorithmic discrimination

Improperly trained algorithms can exacerbate social inequality and discrimination [3].

4. Privacy violations

Surveillance capitalism poses a serious threat to the values of personal freedom and privacy [14].

5. Technological addiction

Recommendation systems developed using AI (Netflix, TikTok, Amazon) algorithmically shape the behavior of users. This process reduces the value of independent will and increases technological dependence [22].

Foreign and local experience analysis

Internationally, UNESCO recommendations [12], the European Union's SI Act [5], the Japanese "Society 5.0" concept [9], and educational programs in the United States have developed special courses on AI ethics, teaching students to



use AI technologies in compliance with the principles of algorithmic discrimination, privacy, moral responsibility, and social justice.

In Uzbekistan, within the framework of the "Digital Uzbekistan-2030" strategy, special attention is paid to the development of ethical and legal norms along with the development of AI [25].

Conclusion

The results of the study show that AI technologies have a dual impact on the value system of an individual. When used rationally and based on ethical norms, they support social development and knowledge acquisition, but otherwise they can increase moral and cultural risks. Therefore, human values should be a priority in the implementation of AI.

References

1. Nurhayati, and Setiawati, E. (2024). THE USE OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE (AI) TECHNOLOGY IN THE FORMATION OF STUDENTS' VALUES AND CHARACTER IN SOCIAL STUDY LEARNING. International Conference on Applied Social Sciences in Education, 1 (1), 388–394. <https://doi.org/10.31316/icasse.v1i1.6879>
2. Schwartz, 1992. Universals in the Content and Structure of Values: Theory and Empirical Tests in 20 Countries. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-531-18898-0_6
3. Smart Learning Environments The effects of over-reliance on AI dialogue systems on students' cognitive abilities: a systematic review Chunpeng Zhail *, Santoso Wibowol and Lily D. Li1 https://slejournal.springeropen.com/articles/10.1186/s40561-024-00316-7?utm_source=chatgpt.com#citeas
4. Milton Rokeach . The Nature of Human Values. Free Press, 1973. 438 p
5. Schwartz, 1992. Universals in the Content and Structure of Values: Theory and Empirical Tests in 20 Countries. https://link.springer.com/chapter/10.1007/978-3-531-18898-0_6



6. Eli Pariser The Filter Bubble: What the Internet Is Hiding from You Penguin Press. Publication date. May 12, 2011. amazon.com › Filter -Bubble-What-Internet-Hiding/ dp /...
7. Bryson, JJ (2018). Patience is not a virtue: AI and the design of ethical systems. Ethics and Information Technology, 20(1), 15–26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-018-9448-6>
8. Floridi, L. (2019). The Logic of Information: A Theory of Philosophy as Conceptual Design. Oxford University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780198833635.001.0001>
9. Stuart Russell and Peter Norvig Reinforcement Learning Intelligence: A Modern Approach, 4th US ed. By 789. Modified: Aug 22, 2022 <https://aima.cs.berkeley.edu/ Artificial>
10. Published in 2023 by the United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization, 7, place de Fontenoy, 75352 Paris 07 SP, France
11. Jeanne Anderson, Lee Rainie and Alex Luchsinger. Pew Research Center, December, 2018, “Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Humans” 202.419.3680 www.pewresearch.org
12. WHO issues first global report on Artificial Intelligence (AI) in health and AIx guiding principles for its design and use. 28 June 2021. News release. Reading time: 3 min (873 words). <https://www.who.int/news/item/28-06-2021-who-issues-first-global-report-on-ai-in-health-and-six-guiding-principles-for-its-design-and-use?>
13. Idit Finkelshtein and Shira Soffer- Hayotiy. Cultural Empathy in AI-Supported Collaborative Learning: Advancing Inclusssive Digital Learning in Higher Education. Educ. Sci. 15(10), 1305; <https://doi.org/10.3390/educsci15101305>
14. Creating a more inclusive world: Microsoft and its partners develop cutting-edge solutions for people with disabilities. May 3, 2023 | CEE Multi-Country News Center. <https://news.microsoft.com/en-cee/2023/05/03/>
15. Awad, E. et al. "The Moral Machine Experiment." Nature 563, 7729 (October 2018): 59– 64 © 2018 Springer Nature Limited. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1038/s41586-018-0637-6>



-
16. Ming Lu, Sile Niu. Advances in Social Science, Education and Humanities Research. Proceedings of the 4th International Conference on Education, Language, Art and Intercultural Communication (ICELSIC 2017). <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>
 17. Dignum, Virginia (2019). Taking Responsibility. In Responsible Artificial Intelligence: How to Develop and Use AI in a Responsible Way. Cham: Springer Verlag. pp. 47-69.
 18. Tracking capitalism period: of power new on the border human future for struggle. Shoshana Zuboff. New York: Public Relations (Hatchett Group). 2019. 704
 19. <https://www.publicaffairsbooks.com/titles/shoshana-zuboff/the-age-of-surveillance-capitalism/9781610395694/>
 20. Philip M. Napoli, Social Media and the Public Interest: Media Regulation in the Disinformation Age (Columbia University Press, 2019). 296. p
 21. UNESCO. (2023). Cultural diversity in the digital era. <https://www.unesco.org>
 22. Zallio, M., Clarkson, P. (2023). Metavethics: Ethical, integrity and social implications of the metaverse. In: Tareq Ahram, Waldemar Karwowski, Pepetto Di Bucchianico, Redha Taiar, Luca Casarotto and Pietro Costa (eds) Intelligent Human Systems Integration (IHSI 2023): Integrating People and Intelligent Systems. AHFE (2023) International Conference. AHFE Open Access, vol 69. AHFE International, USA.
 23. <http://doi.org/10.54941/ahfe1002891>
 24. Tuba Bircan, Mustafa FRR (2025) code inequalities open: AI and inequality separation. Technological forecast and social changes, volume 211, 123925. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.techfore.2024.123925> .
 25. Larry Hardesty Research commerce artificial intellect in systems gender and skin type tendency finds MIT News office Publication Date: February 11, 2018
 26. Zuboff, S. (2019). The Age of Surveillance Capitalism: The Fight for a Human Future at the New Frontier of Power. New York: PublicAffairs. ISBN: 978-1-61039-569-4
 27. https://www.pewresearch.org/wp-content/uploads/sites/20/2023/10/PI_2023.10.18_Data-Privacy_FINAL.pdf



***Modern American Journal of Linguistics,
Education, and Pedagogy***

ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 2, Issue 5, May, 2026

Website: usajournals.org

***This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution
4.0 International License.***

-
28. UNESCO. (2021). Recommendation on the ethics of artificial intelligence. <https://en.unesco.org/artificialintelligence/ethics> (accessed 10/12/2021).
 29. European Commission, Questions and Answers: Proposal for a Regulation of the European Parliament and of the Council laying down harmonized rules on artificial intelligence (Artificial Intelligence Act). 2021. Apr 21.