



MORPHOLOGICAL ANALYSIS OF FISHERIES- RELATED TERMS IN THE ENGLISH LANGUAGE

Tangirkulova Karomat Saitovna

Senior Lecturer at the Department of Languages,
Termez State University of Engineering and Agrotechnologies

Abstract

This article examines the morphological structure and word-formation patterns of fisheries-related terminology in the English language. Fisheries terminology represents a specialized lexical subsystem that reflects the scientific, technological, economic, and environmental aspects of fishing, aquaculture, fish processing, and aquatic resource management. The study focuses on the morphological composition of fisheries-related terms, including simple words, derived forms, compounds, and abbreviated expressions. Particular attention is paid to productive derivational suffixes and prefixes, compound nouns, conversion, and inflectional morphology. Examples such as fisherman, fishery, aquaculture, fish farming, overfishing, fishery management, and stock assessment are analyzed to demonstrate how morphological structures contribute to the formation and interpretation of specialized terminology. The article argues that morphological analysis is essential for understanding the internal organization of fisheries vocabulary, improving terminology standardization, and supporting the teaching and translation of English for Specific Purposes (ESP).

Keywords: Fisheries terminology, morphological analysis, word formation, derivation, compounding, affixation, aquaculture, specialized vocabulary, English for Specific Purposes.



1. Introduction

Language is a dynamic system that develops in response to changes in society, science, technology, and professional activity. As specialized fields expand, they generate new concepts that require precise linguistic expressions. Fisheries is one such field, encompassing commercial fishing, aquaculture, fish breeding, aquatic ecosystem management, fish processing, and the sustainable use of aquatic biological resources. The terminology associated with these activities constitutes an important part of specialized English vocabulary.

The study of fisheries-related terms is relevant to linguistics because specialized vocabulary reflects the mechanisms through which a language creates and organizes new lexical units. Morphology, as a branch of linguistics, investigates the internal structure of words and the principles governing their formation. It examines morphemes, derivational processes, inflectional forms, and the relationships between words within a lexical system.

Fisheries terminology provides numerous examples of these processes. Terms such as fisher, fishing, fishery, and overfishing demonstrate how a common lexical base can generate related forms with different meanings and grammatical functions. Similarly, expressions such as fish farming, fish processing, and stock assessment illustrate the role of compound structures and multiword terminology in naming professional activities and concepts.

The morphological investigation of fisheries terminology has both theoretical and practical significance. From a theoretical perspective, it contributes to the study of word formation and specialized lexical systems. From a practical perspective, it can help students, translators, researchers, and fisheries professionals understand unfamiliar terms, recognize relationships between lexical items, and use technical vocabulary more accurately.

The purpose of this article is to identify the major morphological patterns found in English fisheries terminology and explain how these patterns contribute to the development, meaning, and grammatical behavior of specialized terms.

The objectives of the study are as follows:

To identify the principal morphological categories of fisheries-related terms.

To analyze the use of derivational prefixes and suffixes.

To examine compound terms and multiword lexical units.



To distinguish derivational morphology from inflectional morphology.

To establish the practical relevance of morphological analysis for terminology learning and translation.

2. Theoretical Background of Morphological Analysis

Morphology is traditionally defined as the branch of linguistics concerned with the internal structure of words and the formation of grammatical word forms. A central concept in morphological analysis is the morpheme, the smallest linguistic unit that carries lexical meaning or a grammatical function.

Morphemes are commonly classified into free and bound morphemes. Free morphemes can function as independent words, whereas bound morphemes normally occur as parts of other words. For example, in fisherman, the element fish is a free morpheme, while -er is a derivational suffix in related forms such as fisher. The word overfishing contains the prefix over-, the lexical base fish, and the derivational suffix -ing in a structure that reflects the process of fishing beyond an appropriate or sustainable level.

In specialized terminology, morphological structures frequently indicate relationships between a general concept and a more specific technical concept. For instance, fish refers to an aquatic animal, while fishery can denote fishing activity, a fishing industry, or a resource area, depending on the context. The word fisheries is also commonly used to refer to the sector concerned with harvesting and managing aquatic resources.

Three major morphological processes are particularly relevant to fisheries terminology: derivation, compounding, and inflection.

Compounding combines two or more lexical elements to form a single lexical unit or a multiword technical expression. Examples include fish farming, fish processing, fish stock, and fish meal.

These processes interact in technical vocabulary. A term may contain several morphological elements, and its interpretation may depend on the relationship between those elements and the professional context in which the term is used.

Simple terms constitute an important foundation of fisheries vocabulary. They include lexical items such as fish, net, pond, boat, fry, roe, and catch. These words



refer to organisms, equipment, aquatic environments, or activities associated with fishing and fish production.

Although many simple terms are short, they can serve as bases for extensive word families. The word fish, for example, occurs in numerous related forms and expressions. Its productivity illustrates how a basic lexical item can participate in the formation of specialized vocabulary.

Derived terms are formed through affixation, which involves adding prefixes or suffixes to a lexical base. Derivation may change the grammatical category of a word, modify its meaning, or establish a relationship with another technical concept.

Suffixation is one of the most productive word-formation processes in English. In fisheries terminology, suffixes can indicate a person involved in an activity, a process, a state, a quality, or an abstract concept.

The suffix -er commonly forms nouns referring to people who perform an activity. In the fisheries domain, fisher refers to a person who catches fish. The form fisherman is also widely used, although fisher and gender-neutral expressions such as fishing worker may be preferred in certain professional contexts.

The suffix -ing appears in fishing, which can function as a noun or as part of a verbal construction. In the expression commercial fishing, it names an activity. In the sentence “Workers are fishing in the coastal waters,” it forms part of the progressive verb phrase.

The suffix -ery in fishery forms a noun associated with an activity, industry, or place. However, the meaning of the resulting word is not always completely predictable from its individual morphemes. Therefore, its interpretation requires knowledge of conventional usage in the fisheries sector.

The suffix -ment in management produces an abstract noun from the verb manage. The resulting term is common in expressions such as fisheries management and resource management. Likewise, regulation and sustainability are important nouns in discussions of fisheries governance and environmental protection.



Prefixation involves attaching a bound morpheme to the beginning of a lexical base. Prefixes frequently modify the meaning of a word without changing its grammatical category.

The prefix over- is particularly relevant to fisheries vocabulary. In overfishing, it conveys the idea of fishing at a level that exceeds what can be sustained by the relevant fish population. The term is important in discussions of marine conservation, biodiversity, and fisheries policy.

Bycatch — organisms unintentionally caught during fishing operations. The element by- has a specialized lexical role in this established term; its meaning should not be interpreted solely from the general meaning of the prefix.

Non-target species — species that are not the intended targets of a particular fishing operation. The prefix non- expresses negation or exclusion.

Unsustainable fishing — fishing practices that cannot be maintained over the long term without undesirable environmental or resource consequences. The prefix un- expresses negation in unsustainable.

Re-stocking — the process of replenishing a fish population or introducing fish into a water body. The prefix re- indicates repetition or restoration.

These examples demonstrate that prefixes can encode important conceptual distinctions. Nevertheless, morphological interpretation must be supported by domain-specific knowledge because not every technical term has a meaning that can be derived mechanically from its parts.

Compounding is another significant process in the development of English technical vocabulary. A compound is formed when two or more lexical elements combine to express a single concept. Fisheries terminology contains both closed compounds, written as one word, and open compounds, written as separate words. The term fish farming combines fish with farming. The first element specifies the type of organism, while the second indicates the activity. Similarly, fish processing refers to the treatment and preparation of fish after harvesting.

In stock assessment, the first noun identifies the resource or population being examined, while the second names the analytical process. This structure is typical of English technical terminology, where noun–noun combinations allow complex professional concepts to be expressed concisely.



The expression water quality illustrates the use of a noun modifier. The noun water specifies the subject, while quality denotes the characteristics being evaluated. Such combinations are particularly common in environmental science, aquaculture, and aquatic resource management.

It is important to distinguish compounds from ordinary grammatical phrases. In technical terminology, some multiword expressions function as established lexical units because they name specific concepts in a professional field. Their meanings may be more specialized than the meanings of their individual words considered separately.

For example, fish stock does not simply mean a quantity of fish in an informal sense; in fisheries science, it can refer to a population treated as a unit for assessment and management. This specialized meaning demonstrates the relationship between morphological structure and terminological convention.

Unlike derivation, inflection does not generally create a new dictionary entry. Instead, it marks grammatical distinctions such as number, tense, or participial form. Inflectional morphology is important in fisheries-related academic and professional texts because it helps establish grammatical relationships within sentences.

The plural marker -s or -es is common in fisheries terminology:

net → nets

pond → ponds

hatchery → hatcheries

catch → catches

species → species

The final example requires special attention because species has the same form in the singular and plural. Thus, “one species” and “several species” are both grammatically correct.

The word fish also has the same form in many singular and plural contexts: “one fish” and “many fish.” The plural form fishes is used in some contexts, particularly when referring to different kinds or species of fish. The appropriate form depends on meaning and conventional usage.

Plural marking is particularly important in technical reports. Compare the following examples:



“The pond contains 500 fish.”

“The study examined several fish species.”

“The hatchery operates three production ponds.”

In each sentence, number marking contributes to the accurate expression of quantity and classification.

The morphological analysis of fisheries-related terminology reveals that English employs several productive mechanisms to name concepts associated with fishing, aquaculture, fish processing, and aquatic resource management. Derivation, compounding, inflection, conversion, and abbreviation all contribute to the structure of fisheries vocabulary.

Suffixes such as -er, -ing, -ment, and -ation help form words referring to people, activities, processes, and abstract concepts. Prefixes such as over-, non-, un-, and re- modify lexical meanings and contribute to the expression of important technical distinctions. Compound terms such as fish farming, fish processing, stock assessment, and water quality demonstrate the importance of noun combinations in specialized English.

Inflectional forms are equally important because they express grammatical distinctions in scientific and professional communication. The forms nets, catches, harvested, and managing illustrate how fisheries-related vocabulary operates within the grammatical system of English.

The analysis also shows that morphological structure does not always provide a complete explanation of a term's meaning. Some expressions, including bycatch and fishery, require knowledge of established professional usage and context. Therefore, morphological analysis should be integrated with semantic and terminological analysis.

In conclusion, the systematic study of fisheries terminology can contribute to linguistic research, specialized vocabulary development, professional translation, and ESP teaching. Further research could compare the morphological structures of fisheries terms in English and Uzbek to identify similarities, differences, and effective strategies for translating technical vocabulary.



References

1. Bauer, L. (2003). *Introducing Linguistic Morphology* (2nd ed.). Edinburgh University Press.
2. Bauer, L. (1983). *English Word-Formation*. Cambridge University Press.
3. Katamba, F. (1993). *Morphology*. Macmillan.
4. Plag, I. (2003). *Word-Formation in English*. Cambridge University Press.
5. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). *Fisheries and Aquaculture*. <https://www.fao.org/fishery/>
6. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO). *The State of World Fisheries and Aquaculture*. FAO, various editions. <https://www.fao.org/sofia/>
7. Cambridge Dictionary. *English Dictionary and Thesaurus*. <https://dictionary.cambridge.org/>