Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 2, Issue 5, May, 2026
Website: usajournals.org
This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

THE INFLUENCE OF EMOTION AND MOTIVATION ON COGNITIVE PROCESSES: A NEUROPEDAGOGICAL APPROACH

Izzatullayeva Zohidaxon Sunatdullayevna
Lecturer, Department of Pedagogy and Psychology
Tashkent, Uzbekistan, Alfraganus University

Abstract

This article examines how emotion and motivation interact with cognitive processes and considers the implications for teacher education in Uzbekistan. A narrative review of selected literature in affective neuroscience, motivational neuroscience, and educational psychology provides the methodological basis. Four supplied review articles form the initial theoretical foundation; no original participant data are reported. The synthesis focuses on attention, working memory, long-term memory, and cognitive control. The reviewed literature indicates that emotional significance can influence the allocation of attention and the encoding and consolidation of information, while motivational processes contribute to task selection, persistence, and goal regulation. These relationships are context dependent: emotional arousal may support the retention of relevant information or increase distraction, and motivational effects vary with perceived value and task demands. Interactions among prefrontal, limbic, and striatal networks offer a framework for understanding these processes without assigning each psychological function to an isolated brain region. The article considers supportive feedback, meaningful learning tasks, manageable challenge, and opportunities for self-regulation as pedagogical implications requiring evaluation in educational settings. Application to pedagogical universities in Uzbekistan is presented as a contextual interpretation rather than a locally validated intervention. The review distinguishes neuroscientific explanations from evidence of classroom effectiveness and identifies priorities for subsequent educational research.

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 2, Issue 5, May, 2026
Website: usajournals.org
This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Keywords: Emotion, motivation, cognitive processes, neuropedagogy, attention, working memory, learning, self-regulation, teacher education.

EMOTSIYA VA MOTIVATSIYANING KOGNITIV JARAYONLARGA TA'SIRI: NEYROPEDAGOGIK YONDASHUV

Izzatullayeva Zohidaxon Sunatdullayevna
Pedagogika va psixologiya kafedrasi o'qituvchisi
O'zbekiston, Toshkent, Alfraganus University

Annotatsiya

Ushbu maqolada emotsiya va motivatsiyaning kognitiv jarayonlar bilan o'zaro aloqasi hamda ushbu aloqaning O'zbekistonda pedagog kadrlar tayyorlash uchun ahamiyati ko'rib chiqiladi. Tadqiqotning metodologik asosini affektiv neyrofan, motivatsiya neyrofani va pedagogik psixologiyaga oid tanlangan adabiyotlarning narrativ sharhi tashkil etadi. Taqdim etilgan to'rtta sharh maqolasi dastlabki nazariy asos sifatida foydalaniladi; ishtirokchilardan yangi empirik ma'lumotlar to'planmagan. Tahlil diqqat, ishchi xotira, uzoq muddatli xotira va kognitiv nazoratga qaratilgan. Ko'rib chiqilgan adabiyotlar emotsional ahamiyat diqqatning taqsimlanishi, axborotning xotirada kodlanishi va mustahkamlanishiga ta'sir qilishi mumkinligini, motivatsion jarayonlar esa vazifani tanlash, faoliyatni davom ettirish va maqsadga yo'naltirilgan boshqaruvda ishtirok etishini ko'rsatadi. Ushbu munosabatlar vaziyatga bog'liq: emotsional qo'zg'alish muhim axborotni eslab qolishga yordam berishi yoki chalg'ishni kuchaytirishi mumkin, motivatsiyaning ta'siri esa vazifaning subyektiv qiymati va talablariga qarab o'zgaradi. Prefrontal, limbik va striatal tarmoqlarning o'zaro faoliyati bu jarayonlarni tushuntirish uchun asos bo'lib xizmat qiladi. Qo'llab-quvvatlovchi fikr-mulohaza, mazmunli o'quv vazifalari, imkoniyatga mos murakkablik va o'zini o'zi boshqarish imkoniyatlari ta'lim sharoitida tekshirilishi lozim bo'lgan pedagogik yo'nalishlar sifatida muhokama qilinadi. Ularning O'zbekiston pedagogika universitetlariga tatbiqi mahalliy sharoitda tasdiqlangan aralashuv sifatida emas, balki kontekstual talqin sifatida taqdim etiladi. Sharh neyroilmiy tushuntirishlarni dars samaradorligiga oid

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 2, Issue 5, May, 2026
Website: usajournals.org
This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

dalillardan ajratadi va keyingi pedagogik tadqiqotlarning ustuvor yo‘nalishlarini belgilaydi.

Kalit so‘zlar: emotsiya, motivatsiya, kognitiv jarayonlar, neyropedagogika, diqqat, ishchi xotira, o‘rganish, o‘zini o‘zi boshqarish, pedagog kadrlar tayyorlash.

Introduction

Learning involves continuous interaction among cognitive, emotional, and motivational processes. Students interpret information while evaluating its relevance, responding to challenges, and deciding whether to sustain effort. Attention, memory, and reasoning therefore operate within experiences shaped by interest, uncertainty, confidence, and perceived value. A neuropedagogical approach examines these relationships by connecting educational questions with psychological and neuroscientific evidence. Its purpose is to improve explanations of learning and inform testable instructional decisions, while recognising that evidence about brain activity does not independently establish the effectiveness of a teaching method.

Emotion influences which information attracts attention and how experiences are encoded and remembered. Tyng et al. (2017) describe interactions among emotional arousal, attentional selection, and memory systems, emphasising that emotional effects depend on multiple conditions. Emotionally significant material may receive processing priority, but this priority does not necessarily improve understanding of the intended lesson. Anxiety can direct attention toward perceived threats, while engaging content may support concentration when its emotional meaning remains relevant to learning objectives. Consequently, educational applications require attention to task characteristics, emotional intensity, and individual differences.

Motivation concerns the processes through which learners initiate, maintain, and regulate purposeful activity. Kim (2013) proposes a neuroscientific model distinguishing reward-driven approach, value-based decision-making, and goal-directed control. This framework highlights the difference between attracting initial interest and supporting sustained intellectual effort. A student may enjoy

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 2, Issue 5, May, 2026
Website: usajournals.org
This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

an activity without investing in difficult reasoning, whereas a personally meaningful goal may sustain engagement despite temporary frustration. Instruction should therefore consider perceived relevance, achievable challenges, feedback, and opportunities to monitor progress, rather than treating motivation as a fixed personal attribute.

The relationship between emotion and cognition is reciprocal. Cognitive control helps learners interpret emotional situations, redirect attention, and regulate responses, while emotional significance influences the information available for further processing. Okon-Singer et al. (2015) emphasise distributed interactions rather than a strict division between emotional and cognitive brain systems. Crocker et al. (2013) similarly examine interconnected cognitive, emotional, and motivational mechanisms, although their clinical focus requires caution when transferring findings to ordinary classrooms. These perspectives encourage an integrated account of learning while limiting simplistic claims about isolated brain regions or universally beneficial emotional states.

For pedagogical universities in Uzbekistan, these questions can inform the preparation of future teachers to recognise emotional demands, interpret disengagement, and design purposeful learning experiences. However, the supplied literature does not establish how particular interventions perform in Uzbek educational settings. Local application therefore requires consideration of instructional language, assessment practices, available resources, and students' experiences, followed by direct evaluation. The present article aims to synthesise selected evidence on emotion, motivation, attention, memory, and cognitive control, and to examine its relevance for teacher education. It addresses how these processes interact, which pedagogical interpretations are defensible, and where further evidence is needed. The review distinguishes established observations, provisional explanatory models, and proposed classroom applications, providing a basis for discussing educational practice without presenting international laboratory findings as locally demonstrated outcomes. This distinction is especially relevant when translating complex neural explanations into practical recommendations for prospective classroom teachers.

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 2, Issue 5, May, 2026
Website: usajournals.org
This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Methods

The article uses a narrative review to examine relationships among emotion, motivation, and cognitive processes and interpret their relevance for teacher education. This design supports conceptual integration across neuroscience and educational psychology without treating heterogeneous findings as comparable experimental outcomes. The analytical foundation comprises four review articles supplied for this work: Tyng et al. (2017), Kim (2013), Okon-Singer et al. (2015), and Crocker et al. (2013). Their selection was determined by availability and thematic relevance, rather than by a comprehensive database search or predefined systematic review protocol.

The supplied documents were examined for their aims, conceptual definitions, explanatory models, reported mechanisms, educational implications, and acknowledged limitations. Particular attention was given to distinctions between emotion and motivation, the conditions affecting cognitive performance, and the relationship between neural explanations and observable learning behaviour. Because the core publications are reviews, their accounts of experimental findings were treated as secondary evidence. The present analysis does not represent independent verification of every underlying study and does not assume that repeated citations across reviews constitute independent replications.

The synthesis was organised around attention, working memory, long-term memory, and cognitive control. Statements relevant to these domains were compared across the articles to identify convergence, complementary explanations, and unresolved questions. Emotional influences were considered in relation to arousal, relevance, distraction, and regulation. Motivational influences were examined through task value, reward anticipation, persistence, and goal management. These categories served as interpretive guides rather than a validated coding instrument. No independent reviewers, interrater reliability calculations, or formal risk-of-bias scores were employed; consequently, the synthesis remains dependent on interpretive judgement.

To assess the strength of educational interpretations, the analysis distinguished findings summarised from empirical research, theoretical propositions, and recommendations requiring classroom evaluation. For example, Kim's motivational framework was considered a provisional explanatory model rather

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 2, Issue 5, May, 2026
Website: usajournals.org
This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

than a confirmed instructional programme. Clinical evidence discussed by Crocker and colleagues was used to clarify possible mechanisms and boundary conditions, without equating diagnosed disorders with ordinary academic stress. Similarly, associations between neural activity and task performance were not interpreted as sufficient proof that changing a teaching practice would produce a particular neural or educational effect.

Contextual interpretation focused on potential applications within pedagogical universities in Uzbekistan. Proposed implications were examined for their relevance to lesson planning, feedback, assessment, and students' self-regulation, while recognising that the reviewed sources provide no direct evaluation of these applications in the local setting. No participants were recruited, no intervention was delivered, and no behavioural, questionnaire, or neuroimaging measurements were collected. Therefore, the article reports an interpretive literature synthesis rather than an empirical investigation. No pooled effect sizes, statistical significance tests, or causal estimates were calculated. Limitations include the small purposively assembled core corpus, its reliance on older reviews, possible overlap in cited evidence, and limited cultural transferability. These constraints inform the presentation of results and restrict claims about instructional effectiveness. Supplementary references provide background where explicitly discussed and should not be interpreted as an exhaustively screened evidence base. The analytical emphasis remains on conceptual coherence, transparent attribution, and cautious educational interpretation.

Results

The comparison of the four core reviews identified recurring relationships among emotional significance, motivational priorities, and cognitive performance. These relationships concerned attentional selection, memory processing, sustained engagement, and cognitive regulation. The sources differed in emphasis: Tyng et al. (2017) examined learning and memory, Kim (2013) developed a motivational model, Okon-Singer et al. (2015) analysed emotional and cognitive interactions, and Crocker et al. (2013) considered mechanisms relevant to psychopathology. Accordingly, the synthesis produced conceptual findings rather than numerical estimates of educational effects.

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 2, Issue 5, May, 2026
Website: usajournals.org
This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Across the accounts of attention, emotionally significant information was described as receiving processing priority. This prioritisation could facilitate detection of relevant material but could also divert resources toward distracting cues. The reviewed evidence therefore did not support an unconditional association between stronger emotion and better learning. Okon-Singer et al. (2015) described how threatening information can interfere with attentional control and enter working memory despite being irrelevant to the task. Crocker et al. (2013) highlighted related difficulties within anxiety and depression, providing a clinical boundary to educational interpretation.

Memory findings similarly indicated conditional effects. Tyng et al. (2017) described interactions involving the amygdala, hippocampus, and prefrontal cortex in emotional processing, encoding, and consolidation. Their review distinguished circumstances in which emotional information is remembered more effectively from circumstances in which emotion disrupts performance. Enhanced retention of an emotional stimulus could not be equated with improved understanding of surrounding instructional content. The evidence reviewed also concerned varied laboratory tasks, limiting direct inference about retention of complex academic knowledge. No common effect size for classroom learning was established by the present synthesis.

The motivational analysis identified distinctions among initiating action, maintaining engagement, and regulating effort. Within Kim's (2013) framework, reward anticipation, perceived value, and cognitive control contribute to these processes. The model connected motivational regulation with planning, goal maintenance, and performance monitoring. It also treated rewards broadly, encompassing meaningful activities, feedback, and social support rather than material incentives alone. These propositions provided an explanatory structure for interpreting engagement, but the supplied review did not establish a universally effective sequence of teaching techniques. Initial interest and sustained task commitment remained conceptually distinguishable outcomes.

The sources also converged on reciprocal relationships between regulation and affective processing. Cognitive operations contribute to managing emotional responses, while emotional and motivational significance influence which goals and information receive processing resources. Descriptions of distributed

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 2, Issue 5, May, 2026
Website: usajournals.org
This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

interactions challenged a strict separation between emotional and cognitive brain systems. However, the sources did not justify assigning classroom behaviours to single neural structures or inferring individual students' brain activity from observed participation. Neuroplasticity discussed in the clinical literature indicated possibilities for change, without demonstrating the effectiveness of ordinary pedagogical interventions.

For the Uzbekistan context, the analysis yielded candidate applications rather than locally measured findings. These included aligning engaging content with learning objectives, clarifying task value, providing usable feedback, and supporting goal monitoring. Their identification reflected interpretation of the reviewed mechanisms, not evidence from Uzbek student samples. The supplied corpus contained no local intervention comparison from which improvements in achievement, memory, or motivation could be calculated.

Discussion

The reviewed findings suggest that neuropedagogy is most useful when it explains learning conditions and generates questions for educational evaluation. Emotion and motivation influence the allocation of cognitive resources, but their educational consequences depend on the relationship between the learner, the task, and the environment. An engaging lesson may attract attention without producing understanding, just as temporary frustration may accompany productive reasoning. For teacher education, the issue is therefore how emotional and motivational experiences interact with learning objectives and demands.

The distinction between emotional memorability and conceptual understanding has significance. Tyng et al. (2017) provide a basis for examining how emotional relevance affects memory, yet remembering an example does not demonstrate mastery of the principle it illustrates. Teachers could explore this distinction through lesson analysis, comparing students' recall of examples with their ability to explain concepts and transfer knowledge. Such activities represent proposed applications of the review, requiring classroom evaluation. They should examine learning beyond immediate enjoyment and include delayed assessment where feasible.

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 2, Issue 5, May, 2026
Website: usajournals.org
This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

Kim's (2013) model also encourages a differentiated interpretation of motivation. Attracting interest, maintaining engagement, and regulating effort may require different instructional responses. Explaining the professional value of a task could support engagement, while goals and feedback could help students manage demanding work. However, these proposals should be evaluated through educational outcomes rather than justified solely by references to reward circuitry. Feedback that appears encouraging to a teacher may be interpreted differently by students, making learners' perspectives important when designing and assessing instructional practices.

The accounts of emotional distraction raise questions about assessment and classroom interaction. Okon-Singer et al. (2015) describe mechanisms through which emotional information can compete with task processing. This supports investigating whether clearer instructions, predictable assessment criteria, and respectful responses to mistakes help students concentrate. It does not establish that all challenge should be removed or that ordinary examination anxiety is equivalent to a clinical disorder. Crocker et al. (2013) offer relevant explanations of cognitive and affective interactions, but their clinical evidence cannot establish the effectiveness of teaching strategies.

Within pedagogical universities in Uzbekistan, these ideas could inform seminar discussions, teaching practice, and reflective analysis of assessment. Adaptation should consider the language of instruction, disciplinary content, students' prior experiences, and institutional resources. These considerations are proposed contextual priorities, not documented characteristics of every university. Locally conducted studies could compare instructional approaches using measures of engagement, conceptual understanding, and retention. Student interviews could help explain differing responses, while observation could identify whether intended changes were implemented consistently. Such work would connect theoretical interpretation with educational evidence.

The review's limited core corpus restricts the breadth of these interpretations. Older reviews cannot represent the evidence base, and overlapping references may create an appearance of stronger agreement. Future investigations should incorporate recent primary studies, transparent selection procedures, and comparisons across educational contexts. They should also distinguish outcomes

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 2, Issue 5, May, 2026
Website: usajournals.org
This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

reported by learners from demonstrated changes in performance. This distinction would help determine whether proposed practices improve learning, for whom, and under which conditions, without assuming that neuroscientific plausibility guarantees instructional benefit.

Conclusion

Emotion and motivation are integral to the conditions under which cognitive activity supports learning. The reviewed literature describes reciprocal relationships among emotional significance, task value, attention, memory, and cognitive control. These relationships challenge approaches that treat learning as information processing independent of learners' experiences and goals. At the same time, their complexity prevents the formulation of universal instructional rules based solely on emotional intensity, reward, or the presumed activation of a brain region. A defensible neuropedagogical approach connects explanatory mechanisms with educational questions that can be examined directly.

The principal contribution of this narrative review is the integration of perspectives on processing and motivational regulation. Emotion can prioritise relevant information, but it can also compete with learning objectives by directing attention toward distractions. Motivation can support the initiation and continuation of activity, while goal regulation helps learners persist when tasks become demanding. Consequently, effective instruction should be investigated through the interaction of these processes rather than through isolated attempts to increase enjoyment or incentives. Emotional engagement should remain connected to the knowledge and skills being taught.

For pedagogical universities in Uzbekistan, the proposed relevance lies in preparing teachers to interpret learning situations more carefully. Teacher education can introduce distinctions between interest and sustained effort, between memorable experiences and conceptual understanding, and between productive challenge and overwhelming demands. These distinctions can guide lesson planning and evaluation. They do not require teachers to diagnose neural states or apply clinical explanations to ordinary classroom behaviour. Instead, they encourage attention to students' reported experiences, observable

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 2, Issue 5, May, 2026
Website: usajournals.org
This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

participation, and demonstrated learning, considered together rather than used as interchangeable indicators.

The practical directions identified include clarifying the purpose of tasks, connecting content with meaningful goals, providing usable feedback, and supporting learners' monitoring of progress. These directions are proposals informed by the reviewed explanations, not interventions validated by this article. Their suitability should be examined within subjects, instructional languages, and institutional conditions. Evaluation should consider whether students understand material, retain it over time, and apply it in unfamiliar situations. Positive responses to a lesson may provide information, but they cannot independently demonstrate improvement in cognitive performance or academic achievement.

The evidential boundaries of the study remain. Its core consists of four purposively selected reviews, with no original participant data, comprehensive systematic search, or quantitative synthesis. Their findings cannot establish the effectiveness of methods in Uzbek educational settings. Clinical observations and laboratory mechanisms require additional educational evidence before supporting claims about classroom outcomes. Future work should incorporate recent primary research, explicitly reported selection procedures, and locally conducted comparisons. Combining performance measures with student accounts and observations could clarify both learning outcomes and the conditions influencing implementation.

Overall, neuropedagogy offers a framework for asking how emotional meaning and motivational priorities shape opportunities for cognitive engagement. Its educational value depends on careful interpretation, collaboration across disciplines, and verification through learning outcomes. For teacher preparation, the appropriate goal is to develop informed professional judgement that recognises learners' experiences while remaining accountable to evidence about what they understand, remember, and can do.

References

1. Tyng, C. M., Amin, H. U., Saad, M. N. M., & Malik, A. S. (2017). The influences of emotion on learning and memory. Frontiers in Psychology, 8, Article 1454.

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 2, Issue 5, May, 2026
Website: usajournals.org
This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

2. Kim, S. (2013). Neuroscientific model of motivational process. Frontiers in Psychology, 4, Article 98.
3. Okon-Singer, H., Hendler, T., Pessoa, L., & Shackman, A. J. (2015). The neurobiology of emotion–cognition interactions: Fundamental questions and strategies for future research. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 9, Article 58.
4. Crocker, L. D., Heller, W., Warren, S. L., O'Hare, A. J., Infantolino, Z. P., & Miller, G. A. (2013). Relationships among cognition, emotion, and motivation: Implications for intervention and neuroplasticity in psychopathology. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 7, Article 261.
5. Pessoa, L. (2008). On the relationship between emotion and cognition. Nature Reviews Neuroscience, 9(2), 148–158.
6. Pessoa, L. (2009). How do emotion and motivation direct executive control? Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 13(4), 160–166.
7. Phelps, E. A. (2006). Emotion and cognition: Insights from studies of the human amygdala. Annual Review of Psychology, 57, 27–53.
8. Phelps, E. A. (2004). Human emotion and memory: Interactions of the amygdala and hippocampal complex. Current Opinion in Neurobiology, 14(2), 198–202.
9. McGaugh, J. L. (2004). The amygdala modulates the consolidation of memories of emotionally arousing experiences. Annual Review of Neuroscience, 27, 1–28.
10. Cahill, L., & McGaugh, J. L. (1998). Mechanisms of emotional arousal and lasting declarative memory. Trends in Neurosciences, 21(7), 294–299.
11. Miller, E. K., & Cohen, J. D. (2001). An integrative theory of prefrontal cortex function. Annual Review of Neuroscience, 24, 167–202.
12. Ryan, R. M., & Deci, E. L. (2000). Self-determination theory and the facilitation of intrinsic motivation, social development, and well-being. American Psychologist, 55(1), 68–78.
13. Ansari, D., De Smedt, B., & Grabner, R. H. (2012). Neuroeducation—A critical overview of an emerging field. Neuroethics, 5(2), 105–117.
14. Ochsner, K. N., & Gross, J. J. (2005). The cognitive control of emotion. Trends in Cognitive Sciences, 9(5), 242–249.

Modern American Journal of Linguistics, Education, and Pedagogy
ISSN (E): 3067-7874
Volume 2, Issue 5, May, 2026
Website: usajournals.org
This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

15. D'Mello, S., Lehman, B., Pekrun, R., & Graesser, A. (2014). Confusion can be beneficial for learning. Learning and Instruction, 29, 153–170.
16. Pekrun, R. (1992). The impact of emotions on learning and achievement: Towards a theory of cognitive/motivational mediators. Applied Psychology, 41(4), 359–376.
17. Sharot, T., Delgado, M. R., & Phelps, E. A. (2004). How emotion enhances the feeling of remembering. Nature Neuroscience, 7(12), 1376–1380.
18. Sharot, T., & Phelps, E. A. (2004). How arousal modulates memory: Disentangling the effects of attention and retention. Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience, 4(3), 294–306.
19. Mohanty, A., & Sussman, T. J. (2013). Top-down modulation of attention by emotion. Frontiers in Human Neuroscience, 7, Article 102.
20. Kinnison, J., Padmala, S., Choi, J.-M., & Pessoa, L. (2012). Network analysis reveals increased integration during emotional and motivational processing. The Journal of Neuroscience, 32(24), 8361–8372.