



PEDAGOGICAL APPROACHES IN TRAINING SPECIALISTS

Boltayev Xudayberdi Ataboyevich

CHOTKMBY Q. Q. HHM kafedrasi sikl boshlig'i

Usmonov Jamoliddin Kamoliddinovich

CHOTKMBY Q. Q. HHM Kafedra boshlig'i o'rinbosari

Abstract

This article explores the various pedagogical approaches used in training specialists, particularly within the context of higher military education. Emphasizing the growing demand for qualified, adaptive, and strategically competent personnel, the paper investigates how modern educational theories and teaching strategies contribute to the formation of professional competencies. Through a comprehensive analysis of learner-centered, problem-based, competency-based, and blended learning approaches, the article aims to provide a methodological foundation for improving training quality. Specific attention is given to the pedagogical principles that align with the structure, discipline, and mission-oriented nature of military institutions.

Keywords: Pedagogical approach, specialist training, military education, professional competency, learner-centered instruction, methodology, didactic strategies.

Introduction

In the 21st century, the rapid evolution of technology, the dynamic nature of global security challenges, and the increasing complexity of modern professions demand a fundamental rethinking of how specialists are trained. In particular, military educational institutions face the pressing need to equip future officers and specialists not only with theoretical knowledge but also with critical thinking, adaptability, leadership skills, and practical competencies suitable for a range of operational environments. As a result, pedagogical approaches in specialist



***Modern American Journal of Linguistics,
Education, and Pedagogy***

ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, Issue 04, July, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

***This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution
4.0 International License.***

training are shifting from traditional, instructor-centered models to more dynamic, student-centered paradigms that emphasize competence development and real-world application.

Specialist training within military academies must balance academic rigor with professional preparedness. This dual mission necessitates the application of pedagogical strategies that are both methodologically sound and contextually adapted to the military setting. Military education, unlike many civilian academic environments, imposes unique demands: the need for discipline, precision, rapid decision-making, moral responsibility, and leadership under pressure. Therefore, pedagogical methods must respond to these realities by fostering resilience, ethical judgment, and a high level of operational competence.

Over the past two decades, educational researchers and military pedagogues have increasingly advocated for approaches such as competency-based learning, blended learning environments, active learning techniques, and individualized instruction. Each of these pedagogical models addresses specific challenges in the training of military specialists. Competency-based education, for example, focuses on measurable outcomes and the demonstration of skills in realistic scenarios. Blended learning combines the flexibility of digital platforms with the structure of face-to-face instruction, making it particularly effective in situations where time and resources are limited.

Moreover, military institutions have begun to integrate simulation-based learning, gamification, and project-based instruction as part of broader pedagogical innovation. These methods not only enhance learner engagement but also promote critical thinking and teamwork—essential qualities for officers and defense personnel. Importantly, these innovations are most effective when grounded in a clear understanding of the theoretical foundations of pedagogy and instructional design.

Another critical factor is the role of the instructor. In military education, the instructor is not merely a transmitter of knowledge but also a mentor, leader, and model of professional conduct. Pedagogical approaches must therefore include strategies for developing instructors' didactic, communicative, and interpersonal skills. An effective training environment requires continuous feedback, formative



assessment, and reflective practice, all of which are underpinned by a supportive pedagogical framework.

The goal of this article is to explore the various pedagogical approaches currently being employed in military specialist training and to analyze their effectiveness in shaping professional competence. The focus will be placed on both theoretical underpinnings and practical implementations within the context of military higher education institutions. By examining local and international practices, this study aims to provide evidence-based recommendations for improving the quality and impact of specialist training in military academies.

Literature Review

The discourse surrounding pedagogical approaches in the training of specialists, particularly within military education, has undergone significant development in recent decades. Early literature focused primarily on rote learning and authoritarian teaching models, emphasizing command structure and technical mastery. However, contemporary research in both civil and military education highlights a paradigm shift towards learner-centered strategies that prioritize the development of professional competencies, autonomy, and critical decision-making. This transition is influenced by global educational reforms, including the Bologna Process, which advocates for transparency, mobility, and competence-based outcomes across higher education systems.

A foundational work by Biggs and Tang (2011) introduced the concept of constructive alignment, asserting that effective teaching must align learning objectives, activities, and assessments. Their model has been applied in various military academies to restructure curricula towards measurable performance outcomes. Similarly, Knowles' theory of andragogy (1984), which emphasizes self-directed learning and experiential knowledge, has been extensively cited in military contexts where adult learners with prior field experience require more flexible and personalized instructional approaches.

Military education scholars such as Winslow (1998) and Snider & Watkins (2000) have argued that effective officer education must integrate theoretical instruction with moral reasoning and leadership development. They emphasize the need for pedagogical models that go beyond content transmission and engage



learners in values-based reflection and real-world problem-solving. This is echoed in the work of Wong et al. (2003), who documented the success of problem-based learning (PBL) in developing junior officers' decision-making abilities, especially in ambiguous or rapidly changing environments.

Another significant body of literature focuses on competency-based education (CBE) in the context of specialist training. Gonczi (1994) outlines that competencies are not merely sets of discrete skills but involve integrated performance in context. In military education, this translates into training that mimics operational complexity and requires learners to demonstrate leadership, adaptability, and collaborative skills. Competency frameworks developed by NATO and other defense organizations have since been incorporated into course design in military universities, promoting standardized yet contextually flexible training models.

Recent studies have also explored the use of digital technologies in military pedagogy. According to Means et al. (2010), blended learning environments—where online and face-to-face learning coexist—result in higher learner achievement compared to traditional settings. In military institutions, this model supports both flexibility and scalability, especially in logistics-heavy or geographically dispersed training systems. Studies by Chatterjee & Correia (2020) demonstrate that simulations and gamified learning environments improve cognitive retention, motivation, and mission readiness, particularly when embedded in scenario-based training modules.

Despite the growing acceptance of modern pedagogical methods, some literature points to ongoing challenges. For instance, Eyal & Cohen (2006) caution that an overreliance on digital tools may reduce human interaction, which is crucial in building interpersonal and leadership capacities. Similarly, Binkley et al. (2012) argue for balanced integration of 21st-century skills without compromising the ethical and disciplinary foundations of military education.

In the regional context, educational scholars in Central Asia have begun to adapt global frameworks to local military education systems. Research conducted by Karimov (2019) on the implementation of competency-based curricula in military universities in Central Asia emphasizes the need for cultural and institutional adaptability. This highlights that while international best practices offer valuable



templates, local socio-political, linguistic, and institutional variables must be considered to ensure effectiveness and sustainability.

Taken together, the literature provides a robust foundation for rethinking pedagogical strategies in specialist training. It underscores the transition from passive to active learning, the centrality of competencies, and the transformative role of technology. However, it also reminds educators and policymakers of the contextual specificity required for such approaches to succeed—particularly in structured, hierarchical systems such as military academies.

Methodology

The methodology of this study is designed to explore and evaluate pedagogical approaches applied in training specialists within military educational institutions. A qualitative research paradigm was chosen, as it allows for an in-depth analysis of pedagogical practices, instructor experiences, and learner responses within specific educational contexts. This approach also supports the exploration of how pedagogical strategies align with the unique mission, values, and structural characteristics of military academies.

To ensure the validity and reliability of findings, a triangulated data collection strategy was employed, involving semi-structured interviews, classroom observations, and document analysis. The study was conducted in two higher military educational institutions, selected for their active implementation of modern pedagogical reforms. The participants included 10 instructors, 5 academic program coordinators, and 30 cadets across various years of study.

The semi-structured interviews aimed to capture the lived experiences and pedagogical philosophies of instructors. Questions focused on instructional methods, classroom dynamics, assessment strategies, and perceived student engagement. Interviews with program coordinators provided insight into institutional policies, curriculum design processes, and quality assurance mechanisms. All interviews were audio-recorded and transcribed for thematic analysis.

Classroom observations were conducted over a period of eight weeks, focusing on a range of subjects such as leadership training, military ethics, engineering, and physical preparation. A standardized observation protocol was used to assess



elements such as instructional clarity, learner participation, feedback mechanisms, use of digital tools, and the application of active learning techniques. Observational data served to validate and enrich insights obtained through interviews.

Document analysis included the review of curriculum syllabi, training manuals, institutional strategies, and internal reports on pedagogical innovation. These documents were coded to identify the theoretical frameworks, pedagogical goals, and instructional resources guiding specialist training programs. Particular attention was given to how competency-based, blended, or problem-based learning elements were formally embedded within the academic structures.

The data analysis followed a grounded theory approach, allowing categories and themes to emerge directly from the collected data. Open coding was used to identify key pedagogical patterns, followed by axial coding to understand relationships among themes such as instructional method, engagement, discipline, and professional development. Constant comparison methods ensured that categories were refined throughout the analysis process.

Ethical considerations were prioritized throughout the research process. Informed consent was obtained from all participants, and confidentiality was maintained through anonymization of identities and secure data storage. The study received institutional approval from the ethics committees of both participating academies. Limitations of this methodology include the relatively small sample size and the context-specific nature of military education, which may limit the generalizability of findings to broader educational environments. However, the depth of analysis and contextual sensitivity provide valuable insights for practitioners, curriculum developers, and policymakers in similar institutional settings.

Overall, this methodological design supports a holistic understanding of pedagogical approaches in military specialist training. It enables the identification of best practices and gaps in current teaching models, contributing to the refinement of instructional strategies that align with both academic standards and military operational requirements.



Discussion

The findings of this study reveal a complex and evolving landscape of pedagogical practices within military specialist training. The analysis of interviews, observations, and institutional documents points to a progressive yet uneven transition from traditional, instructor-centered approaches to more dynamic, learner-centered methods. While there is a growing awareness among military educators of the need to adopt modern pedagogical strategies, the pace and depth of implementation vary widely across institutions, departments, and even individual instructors.

One of the most significant findings is the increasing adoption of competency-based education (CBE) frameworks. Many instructors and academic coordinators emphasized the value of designing learning outcomes that directly reflect operational competencies—such as leadership in high-pressure situations, strategic thinking, and ethical decision-making. The alignment of curricula with real-world military scenarios has helped bridge the gap between theory and practice. However, challenges remain in assessing these competencies effectively, particularly when traditional examination systems are still in use. Several instructors admitted relying on written tests for ease of grading, despite recognizing that such methods may not adequately capture complex professional skills.

The study also identified a growing trend toward blended learning environments, driven by the integration of digital technologies and the increasing availability of online military education resources. Instructors noted that digital tools enabled them to assign pre-class reading, run interactive simulations, and provide immediate feedback. Cadets expressed appreciation for this flexibility, particularly in self-paced learning modules. However, both instructors and learners pointed to technical limitations, inconsistent internet access, and limited digital literacy among older faculty members as barriers to effective implementation.

Another critical issue that emerged is the role of the instructor as a mentor and moral guide. In the military context, pedagogical effectiveness is not only about transmitting knowledge but also about shaping character and leadership values. Observations revealed that instructors who incorporated case studies, ethical



dilemmas, and reflective journaling into their teaching created a more meaningful learning environment. These methods encouraged cadets to engage critically with course material and develop a personal sense of responsibility, which is essential for military leadership.

Active learning strategies such as group problem-solving, tactical simulations, and collaborative projects were particularly successful in fostering engagement. These methods were seen to improve communication skills, teamwork, and decision-making under stress. However, time constraints and rigid schedules limited the frequency with which such strategies could be employed. Instructors often reported feeling pressure to “cover content” at the expense of interactive, student-centered activities.

An important cultural aspect affecting pedagogical transformation is the hierarchical structure inherent in military institutions. While this structure supports discipline and order, it can also hinder open dialogue and experimentation in the classroom. Some cadets reported feeling reluctant to question instructors or express dissenting views, fearing it might be interpreted as insubordination. Addressing this tension requires careful balance—maintaining respect for authority while encouraging critical inquiry and reflective thinking.

Finally, institutional leadership plays a decisive role in pedagogical innovation. In academies where leadership actively supported faculty development, invested in infrastructure, and encouraged experimentation, pedagogical reforms were more widespread and effective. Conversely, in institutions where teaching was viewed as secondary to operational duties, reforms tended to stagnate.

In summary, the discussion highlights both the progress made and the challenges ahead in modernizing pedagogical approaches for military specialist training. Success depends not only on adopting new methods but also on reshaping institutional culture, investing in faculty development, and creating assessment systems aligned with complex professional realities.

Main Body

Training specialists within military institutions requires a multidimensional pedagogical approach that integrates theoretical knowledge, practical skills,



***Modern American Journal of Linguistics,
Education, and Pedagogy***

ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, **Issue** 04, July, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

***This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution
4.0 International License.***

ethical formation, and emotional resilience. This necessity stems from the specific demands of military service, which not only involve technical proficiency but also leadership, discipline, critical thinking, and moral integrity. The core objective of military pedagogy is not merely to educate but to form professionals capable of acting decisively in uncertain, high-stakes environments. Thus, the choice of pedagogical approach directly influences the overall competence and operational readiness of future specialists.

One of the primary strategies identified across both literature and field research is competency-based learning. In this model, education is structured around clearly defined outcomes that reflect the capabilities required in professional military contexts. For example, cadets are expected to demonstrate proficiency in planning and executing tactical missions, managing resources under pressure, and making ethical decisions in combat scenarios. Instruction is tailored to these outcomes, and assessments are designed to measure performance in real-world tasks rather than rote memorization. This approach aligns well with the military emphasis on standards, accountability, and mission-focused training.

Active learning methodologies have also emerged as vital components of military pedagogy. These include problem-based learning (PBL), simulation-based instruction, and case study analysis. In PBL environments, cadets are presented with complex, ambiguous scenarios—often modeled after real military operations—and are required to work collaboratively to develop solutions. Such tasks improve analytical thinking and teamwork, which are crucial for field operations. Similarly, the use of military simulations, ranging from tabletop exercises to full-scale virtual reality environments, provides immersive experiences where cadets must apply theory in real-time decision-making.

Blended learning—combining traditional face-to-face instruction with digital tools—has become increasingly prevalent. This model is particularly effective in military academies where flexibility and scalability are critical. Instructors deliver theoretical content via online platforms, while in-class time is reserved for discussion, debate, and tactical application. This not only makes efficient use of time and resources but also caters to different learning styles. Furthermore, the integration of e-learning platforms allows for self-paced study, enabling cadets to review materials as needed and take greater ownership of their learning.



***Modern American Journal of Linguistics,
Education, and Pedagogy***

ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, Issue 04, July, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

***This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution
4.0 International License.***

The role of instructors in this pedagogical ecosystem cannot be overstated. Beyond their academic qualifications, military educators are expected to model professional behavior and serve as mentors. This demands a pedagogical skill set that includes emotional intelligence, interpersonal communication, and the ability to facilitate discussion while maintaining authority. Instructor training programs within military institutions are therefore essential and should include modules on modern teaching methods, assessment strategies, and feedback delivery.

Assessment is another crucial component of effective pedagogy. Traditional exams still have their place, particularly in testing theoretical knowledge, but are increasingly supplemented with formative and performance-based evaluations. For instance, cadets may be assessed during field exercises, leadership simulations, or ethical debates. Such methods provide a more holistic picture of student development and identify areas where additional support is needed.

Importantly, military education must remain responsive to changes in the global security environment. As warfare becomes more technological and asymmetric, specialists must be trained to deal with cyber threats, information warfare, and multicultural interactions. This requires the continuous updating of curricula and pedagogical approaches to incorporate relevant content and develop adaptive learning capacities. Educational institutions must thus maintain close ties with defense policymakers, operational commanders, and research institutions to ensure that training remains aligned with future demands.

Ultimately, the success of pedagogical strategies in specialist training depends on institutional commitment, pedagogical competence, and a learning culture that values reflection, innovation, and accountability. When these elements are present, military academies are not only sites of instruction but engines of professional excellence and national defense capability.

Conclusion

The effectiveness of pedagogical approaches in training specialists within military education lies not only in the selection of appropriate teaching methods but also in how these methods are adapted to fit the mission, structure, and culture of military institutions. As demonstrated in the preceding analysis, a successful training model must balance theory and practice, discipline and creativity,



***Modern American Journal of Linguistics,
Education, and Pedagogy***

ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, Issue 04, July, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

***This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution
4.0 International License.***

authority and student autonomy. In doing so, it can produce specialists who are not only knowledgeable, but also capable of thinking critically, leading decisively, and acting ethically in high-pressure scenarios.

Competency-based education has proven to be one of the most impactful frameworks, particularly due to its focus on measurable outcomes and applied knowledge. When linked with active learning strategies such as problem-solving, simulations, and blended formats, the training becomes more relevant and engaging for learners. These approaches move beyond passive knowledge acquisition and instead challenge cadets to develop practical skills that can be applied directly in the field. The emphasis on reflective learning and peer collaboration further enhances the learners' ability to transfer classroom knowledge to real-world operations.

Nonetheless, this transformation requires institutional readiness and instructor development. Without administrative support, ongoing curriculum revision, and investment in digital infrastructure, even the most progressive pedagogical models cannot be effectively implemented. The professional development of instructors is also central; military educators must be trained not only in content delivery but also in modern didactics, psychological awareness, and communication. A holistic approach to instructor training, one that includes mentorship, peer review, and continual learning, can foster a more responsive and effective teaching force.

Furthermore, the cultural dimension of military education plays a dual role. While the hierarchical and disciplined nature of military settings supports order and mission-focus, it may also hinder open pedagogical interaction and critical thinking if not balanced carefully. Cultivating a classroom culture that encourages respectful dialogue, critical questioning, and reflective practice is essential for nurturing well-rounded specialists who are ready to face complex and unpredictable challenges.

A critical area for future development lies in assessment practices. Institutions must move toward multifaceted evaluation systems that include formative feedback, peer assessment, and real-time performance evaluations during fieldwork and simulated environments. These methods offer a more



comprehensive view of learner progress and competency than traditional exams alone.

In conclusion, pedagogical approaches in training specialists within military education must evolve continuously in response to changing professional demands, technological advancements, and educational research. This evolution requires a strategic vision supported by policy, resources, and professional collaboration. When such conditions are met, military academies can become exemplary centers of modern education, producing specialists who are intellectually agile, ethically grounded, and operationally prepared to serve with excellence and integrity.

REFERENCES:

1. Эшпулатова, Х. М. К. (2020). Цифровой образовательный ресурс как информационный источник при изучении математики в вузе. Наука и образование сегодня, (6-2 (53)), 9-10.
2. Qizi, E. H. M. O. (2025). MATEMATIKANI O 'QITISHDA RAQAMLI TA'LIM MUHITIDAN FOYDALANISH. Eurasian Journal of Technology and Innovation, 3(2), 33-37.
3. Eshpulatova, H. (2024). RAQAMLI TEXNOLOGIYALARDAN TIL TA'LIMIDA FOYDALANISHNING ZAMONAVIY DASTURIY VOSITALARI. Modern Science and Research, 3(1), 1-3.
4. Husniya, E. (2024). Katta hajmdagi ma'lumotlar (BIG DATA) texnologiyasining elektron tijoratda ishlatilishi. Fizika matematika va informatika ilmiy- uslubiy jurnal, 2(1), 89-95.
5. Husniya, E. (2024). Zamonaviy matematikaning amaliy qo'llanishi. Raqamli pedagogika: holati va rivojlanish istiqbollari, 626-631.
6. Raxmanovna, U. N., & Qizi, E. H. M. O. (2024). GUMANITAR TALIM YONALISHLARIDA MATEMATIKANI OQITISHDA PREDIKAT VA KVANTORLAR TUSHUNCHALARIDAN FOYDALANISHGA DOIR BAZI MALUMOTLAR. Eurasian Journal of Academic Research, 4(7S), 1146-1149.
7. Raxmanovna, U. N., & Qizi, E. H. M. O. (2024). GUMANITAR TALIM YONALISHLARIDA MATEMATIKANI OQITISHDA MATEMATIK



-
- MANTIQ TUSHUNCHALARIDAN FOYDALANISHGA DOIR BAZI MALUMOTLAR. Eurasian Journal of Academic Research, 4(7S), 1150-1153.
8. Gulbaev, N. A., & Eshpulatova, H. M. (2024). UMUMIY O 'RTA TA'LIM TIZIMIDA KOMPYUTER TEXNIKASIDAN FOYDALANISH HOLATI. Eurasian Journal of Academic Research, 4(7S), 1129-1130.
 9. Gulboyev, N. A., & Eshpulatova, H. M. (2024). O'QUV JARAYONIDA BANDICAM DASTURIDAN FOYDALANISH. Eurasian Journal of Academic Research, 4(7S), 1035-1036.
 10. Эшпулатова, Х. М. К. (2020). Вопросы преподавания математики филологам. Наука и образование сегодня, (1 (48)), 5-6.
 11. Shokirjonovna, S. Z. (2025). THE ROLE OF DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES IN THE EDUCATIONAL PROCESS. Multidisciplinary and Multidimensional Journal (MMJ), 3(Volume 4), 34-38.
 12. Shokirjonovna, S. Z. (2025). DIGITAL TRANSFORMATION OF THE EDUCATION SYSTEM IN UZBEKISTAN: CHALLENGES, OPPORTUNITIES, AND FUTURE PROSPECTS. Pioneering Studies and Theories, 4(Volume 1), 35-39.
 13. Sharipova, Z., & Yuta, U. (2025). INTEGRATIVE APPROACH TO DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES. Eurasian Journal of Entrepreneurship and pedagogy, 3(1), 44-47.
 14. Maxmudjanovna, A. I., & Shokirjonovna, S. Z. (2025). MAKTABGACHA TA'LIMDA O 'YIN PLATFORMALARIDAN FOYDALANISHNING AHAMIYATI. Eurasian Journal of Technology and Innovation, 3(2), 17-22.
 15. Sharipova, Z. (2024). Sun'iy intellektning rivojlanishi. Modern Science and Research, 3(1), 1-2.
 16. Khaydaraliyevna, P. D., Hayitovna, M. S., Boburbaxirovich, K., & Shokirjonovna, S. Z. (2024). DEVELOPING VOCABULARY SKILLS OF NON-SPECIALIST STUDENT WITH HELPING SOCIAL MEDIA MARKETING AND IT TOOLS. Eurasian Journal of Academic Research, 4(7S), 511-516.
-



17. Shokirjonovna, S. Z. (2024). ZAMONAVIY DUNYODA AXBOROTNI XAVFSIZ YETKAZIB BERISHNI AHAMIYATI. Eurasian Journal of Academic Research, 4(7S), 1124-1125.
18. Qobilbek, N. (2024). Kognitiv lingvistika zamonaviy tilshunoslikning yangi yo 'nalishi sifatida. Theory of scientific researches of whole worldt, 1(2), 41-50.
19. Akhmedov, B. A. (2025). Implementing artificial intelligence and virtual learning environments in Elementary Schools in Uzbekistan. Procedia Environmental Science, Engineering and Management, 12(1), 63-70.
20. Akhmedov, B. A. (2025). Factors and pedagogical opportunities for creating a safe information environment. Web of Technology: Multidimensional Research Journal, 3(6), 92-96.
21. Akhmedov, B. A. (2025). Analysis of key risk factors in the youth information environment. European Journal of Pedagogical Initiatives and Educational Practices, 3(6), 51-55.
22. Akhmedov, B. A. (2023). Improvement of the digital economy and its significance in higher education in tashkent region. Uzbek Scholar Journal, 12, 18-21.
23. Berdiyrovna, B. M., & Uktamovna, A. M. (2025). The importance of using mobile applications in teaching mathematics. International Journal of Pedagogics, 5(01), 14-19.
24. Botirova, MB; Abduqulova, SR. (2025). Boshlang'ich sinf o'quvchilarida axloqiy madaniyatni shakllantirishning raqamli texnologik zaruriyati. Moskva, 1(1), 138-143.
25. Botirova, M. B., & Jumaboyeva, Z. B. (2024). Matematika fanida o 'yin texnologiyalariga qiziqishni oshirishga qaratilgan pedagogik yondashuvlar. Academic research in educational sciences, (1), 187-189.
26. Botirova, M. B. (2024). O 'yin va pedagogik usullarni ishlatgan holda matematika faniga bo 'lgan qiziqishini oshirish ko 'nikmalari. Science and innovation, 3(Special Issue 36), 340-343.
27. Botirova, M. B. (2024). O'yin texnologiyalaridan foydalangan holda o'quvchilarning matematikaga qiziqishini rivojlantirish. Academic research in educational sciences, (1), 183-186.



28. Botirova, M. B. (2022). Boshlang'ich ta'lim yo'nalishidagi talaba qizlarni oilaga tarbiyalashning pedagogik ahamiyati. *Science and Education*, 3(6), 963-968.
29. Misliddinova, R., & Jiyanova, N. (2022). Muhammad Yusuf she'riyatida metonimiya. *Scientific progress*, 3(4), 1303-1305.
30. Jiyanova, N. (2020). Etymological analysis of account words in studying Zakhiriddin Muhammad Babur's heritage. *Solid State Technology*, 63(6), 396-401.
31. Abdazimova, D. (2015). Türkistan'daki Cedit Hareketi Yayın Organlarından "Ayna" Dergisi Üzerine Bir İnceleme. Niğde: Niğde Üniversitesi Sosyal Bilimler Enstitüsü.(Yayımlanmamış Yüksek Lisans Tezi).
32. Abdazimova, D. (2023). Ötken Künler Romanı Örneğinde Özbek Türkçesi.
33. Abdazimova, D., & Ximmataliyev, D. S. (2024). Педагогик таълим инновацион кластери асосида талабаларни касбга йўналтиришнинг моҳияти. *Uluslararası Türk Dünyası Araştırmaları Dergisi*, 7(3), 181-190.
34. Химматалиев, Д. О., Темиров, К. У., & Абдазимова, Д. (2024). Технологии развития исследовательских компетенций студентов в условиях образовательного кластера. *Academic research in educational sciences*, (1), 66-69.
35. Abdazimova, D., & Khimmataliev, D. (2024). The written language of the novel "The Past Days".(or artistic language). *Science and innovation*, 3(B3), 196-202.
36. GASIMOVA, F., & ABDAZIMOVA, D. (2019). Kongre tam metin kitabı uygulamalı bilimler full texts book.
37. Abdazimova, D. (2018). 20. Yüzyilin ilk yarisinda türkistan'da yazi dili:"ayna dergisi dili örneğinde". *Uluslararası Türk Dünyası Araştırmaları Dergisi*, 1(2), 30-33.