

ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, Issue 05, August, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution

4.0 International License.

THE ROLE OF CULTURE IN SHAPING SPEECH ACTS: A CONTRASTIVE ANALYSIS OF ENGLISH AND UZBEK

Turgunova Sh. A.

Abstract

This study investigates the cross-cultural pragmatic contrasts in speech acts used in English and Uzbek languages. In this paper, the ways of sociocultural values in English-speaking contexts and collectivism in Uzbek society shaping spoken language through exploring demands, apologies, compliments, refusals, and expressions of gratitude. Based on theories of pragmatics and intercultural reationships, this comparative study emphasizes the significance of understanding **social rules** of language and knowing how to use the **correct words or grammar** to express intentions in language teaching and intercultural competence development.

Keywords: Cross-cultural communication, speech acts, English, Uzbek, politeness, intercultural competence, pragmatics, sociopragmatics.

Introduction

Pragmatic competence is an ability to use effective language in context which is important for interaction among different cultures and nations. In multilingual nations and international situations, confusion occurs both from language error and communication breakdown (Thomas, 1983). This article evaluate the pragmatic norms of English and Uzbek, determining main speech acts to in investigate how culture forms social communication. Theoretical Framework rely on Brown and Levinson's (1987) politeness theory, Searle's (1976) classification of speech acts, and Leech's (1983) politeness maxims. It also focuses on the importance of sociopragmatics and pragmalinguistics (Kasper, 1992) to contrast between social norms and Verbal expression.



ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, Issue 05, August, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution

4.0 International License.

Speech Acts in English and Uzbek

• Requests. In English language, indirect strategies are used to express politeness, such as "Could you possibly...?" or "Would you mind...?" (Blum-Kulka et al., 1989), while Uzbek speakers ask directly based on situation, intercultural communication, or honorifics, e.g., "Yordam bera olasizmi?" (Can you help?) or "Iltimos, o'ting."

Aspect	English	Uzbek
Common	Modal verbs and hedging:	Direct questions or polite
Structures	• Could you possibly?	imperatives:
	• Would you mind?	Yordam bera olasizmi?
		• Iltimos, o'ting.
Politeness	Use of modal verbs, softeners,	Use of honorifics, respectful tone,
Device	conditionals	or "iltimos" (please)
Pragmatic	Avoid imposition, maintain	Show respect or familiarity
Function	social harmony	depending on situation
Cultural	Individualism → Emphasis on	Collectivism & hierarchy →
Influence	personal autonomy and non-	Emphasis on respect, clarity, and
	imposition	social roles

This table compares how requests are expressed in English and Uzbek languages, exploring linguistic strategies, examples, and cultural influences. It emphasizes the differences in politeness conventions and sociopragmatic norms between the two languages.

• **Apologies**. Apologies in English are fixed, often including an explicit acknowledgment of fault: "I'm sorry for..." or "I apologize for...". Uzbek apologies often trust in collective politeness and modesty, e.g., "Kechirasiz," "Uzr so'rayman," and may include a explanatio or an apology to remain cooperation (Rustamova, 2020).



ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, Issue 05, August, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution

4.0 International License.

Aspect	English	Uzbek
Common Structures	• I'm sorry for	Kechirasiz
	• I apologize for	• Uzr soʻrayman
	• Please forgive me	• Kechiring, bilmay qoldim
Acknowledgment of	Explicit: Accepting blame	Implicit or softened, often explained
Fault	directly	through context
Cultural Influence	Emphasis on personal	Emphasis on social harmony, indirectness,
	responsibility and individual	and saving face (high-context)
	sincerity (low-context)	
Pragmatic Function	Restores relationship by	Maintains cooperation, expresses respect,
	admitting error and asking	prevents loss of face
	forgiveness	

• Compliments. English usually includes compliments of appearance or accomplishments: "You look amazing today!" Uzbek culture contains reflections of compliments: "Rahmat, lekin siz ha latofatlisiz" (Thank you, but you see beautifully). Such acknowledgments help avoid appearing arrogant (Herbert, 1989).

Aspect	English	Uzbek
Common	You look amazing today!	• Rahmat, lekin siz ham chiroyli
Structures	• Great job!	koʻrinyapsiz
	• That's impressive!	Yaxshi aytdingiz, rahmat
Response to	Usually a simple "Thank you"	Often a modest response or mutual
Compliment		compliment: "Rahmat, lekin siz
		ham"
Cultural	Focus on individual recognition (low-context,	Focus on humility and social
Influence	individualistic culture)	harmony (high-context, collectivist
		culture)
Pragmatic	Express praise, boost confidence, strengthen	Maintain modesty, avoid showing off,
Function	relationships	preserve group equality
Softening	Sometimes hedged: "I don't usually say this,	Compliment often softened by
Strategy	but"	shifting focus or giving joint credit

• **Refusals.** In English, people often soften refusals by using phrases like "I'd love to, but...". In contrast, Uzbek speakers usually refuse more indirectly, using polite excuses or expressions of regret, such as "Kechirasiz, ilojim



ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, Issue 05, August, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution

4.0 International License.

yo'q edi" ("Sorry, I had no choice"). These strategies help avoid offending others, especially in relationships with a power difference or among close social groups (Kurbanov, 2018).

Hedging Expression	Use
Maybe / Perhaps	To show uncertainty
It seems / appears	To soften judgment
I think / I believe	To express opinion carefully
Could / Might	To avoid sounding too sure

• Expressions of Gratitude. While both languages show thanks, and the expression "Thank you very much" is used frequently. In Uzbek, gratitude may be expressed through actions 1 or reciprocal offers: "Rahmat, sizga omad tilayman" (Thank you, I wish you success). This reflects cultural reciprocity norms (Turanov, 2015).

Aspect	English	Uzbek
Common	Thank you	• Rahmat
Structures	Thank you very much	Katta rahmat
	• I appreciate it	Rahmat, sizga omad tilayman
Extended	Thanks a lot, I really appreciate it.	Rahmat, sogʻ boʻling.
Gratitude		Katta rahmat, sizga baxt tilayman.
Cultural	Gratitude is often verbal and self-	Gratitude is often action-oriented or
Influence	contained	reciprocated with a wish
Pragmatic	Acknowledges help or kindness;	Reinforces social harmony and mutual
Function	maintains politeness	respect

- **Discussion**. These speech acts display social and cultural values. English-speaking countries emphasize personal freedom, causing explicit and symmetrical interaction. In Uzbek culture, community and hierarchy is emphasized, which promotes implication and respect. Teachers and learners should know these contrasts to support intercultural comprehension.
- Implications. Consequence in Language Teaching Incorporating pragmatic instruction in language education is important. Through the use



ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, Issue 05, August, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution

4.0 International License.

of authentic materials, role-plays, and contrastive analysis, language learners can comprehend speech act differences (Ishihara & Cohen, 2010). Knowing cross-cultural pragmatics enhances learners' commuticative skills and keeps from pragmatic failure.

Conclusion

The comparison of speech acts in English and Uzbek clearly demonstrates that cultural norms profoundly influence how language is used in social interaction. These differences are not limited to vocabulary or grammar, but extend to **pragmatic choices** how meaning is conveyed through tone, politeness strategies, and contextual appropriateness. For example, the way people apologize, give compliments, express gratitude, or make requests often reflects deeply embedded values such as individualism in English-speaking cultures and collectivism, modesty, or hierarchy in Uzbek society. Such variations underline the necessity of incorporating **pragmatic competence** into second language learning. Learners must not only master the grammatical structures of a language but also understand the social rules and cultural motivations behind those structures. Simply translating words or phrases without awareness of cultural context can lead to misunderstandings, pragmatic failures, or even unintentionally rude or inappropriate behavior. Therefore, developing the ability to interpret not just what is said but also how, why, and in what context it is said is essential for successful communication. Integrating intercultural pragmatics into language education will empower learners to navigate real-life interactions more naturally and respectfully, thus enhancing both linguistic fluency and cross-cultural understanding.

References

- 1. Rustamova, D. (2020). Cultural values in Uzbek communicative behavior.
- 2. Kurbanov, B. (2018). Pragmatic features of the Uzbek language: Refusals and politeness strategies.
- 3. Turanov, S. (2015). Gratitude in Uzbek culture: A sociolinguistic perspective.
- 4. Ishihara, N., & Cohen, A. D. (2010). Teaching and learning pragmatics.
- 5. Kasper, G. (1992). Pragmatic transfer.



ISSN (E): 3067-7874

Volume 01, Issue 05, August, 2025

Website: usajournals.org

This work is Licensed under CC BY 4.0 a Creative Commons Attribution

4.0 International License.

- 6. Blum-Kulka, S., House, J., & Kasper, G. (1989). Cross-cultural pragmatics: Requests and apologies.
- 7. Herbert, R. K. (1989). The ethnography of English compliments and compliment responses.
- 8. Brown, P., & Levinson, S. C. (1987). Politeness: Some universals in language usage.
- 9. Leech, G. N. (1983). Principles of pragmatics.
- 10. Thomas, J. (1983). Cross-cultural pragmatic failure.
- 11. Searle, J. R. (1976). A classification of illocutionary acts.